### **Treatment of type 2 diabetes** mellitus by drugs modulating the incretin system

Zafar H. Israili Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia USA

Address for correspondence:

Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, 69 Jesse Hill Jr. Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, USA. Tel: 678-480-5860 e-mail: zisrail@emory.edu

Recibido: 02/02/2009

Dr. Zafar H. Israili,

Aceptado: 20/02/2009

# Abstract

Diabetes, specifically type 2 diabetes mellitus (T<sub>2</sub>DM), one of the most common non-communicable diseases, poses a major health problem throughout the world. T<sub>2</sub>DM is characterized by insulin resistance, impaired glucose-induced insulin secretion and inappropriately regulated glucagon secretion which in combination eventually result in hyperglycemia and in the longer term microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes. Traditional treatment modalities, even multidrug approaches, for T<sub>2</sub>DM are often inadequate in getting patients to achieve glycemic goals as the disease progresses due to a steady, relentless decline in pancreatic β-cell /number/function. Furthermore, current treatment modalities are often limited by inconvenient dosing regimens, safety and tolerability issues, the latter including hypoglycemia, body weight gain, edema and gastrointestinal side effects. A novel category of antihyperglycemic therapy based on modulation of the endogenous incretin system has recently evolved. The incretins, specifically glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP), are gut-derived peptides secreted in response to meals, specifically the presence and absorption of nutrients in the intestinal lumen. The incretins potentiate meal-induced insulin secretion and trophic effects on the  $\beta$ -cell; the GLP-1 also inhibits glucagon secretion, and suppresses food intake and appetite. The activity/level of the incretins is diminished in T<sub>2</sub>DM. Both GLP-1 and GIP are rapidly degraded by the endogenous dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 (DPP-4). Hence, stable long-acting GLP-1 analogs/GLP-1 receptor agonists

(incretin mimetics) have been developed. Since, the incretin mimetics have to be injected, orally active inhibitors of DPP-4, the incretin enhancers, have also been introduced for the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>DM. The GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors are useful in the management of T<sub>2</sub>DM because they provide effective reductions in levels of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and postprandial glucose (PPG), partly through their actions on pathogenic causes of T<sub>a</sub>DM that are not addressed by other glucoselowering agents. In addition, the GLP-1 receptor agonists promote weight loss, whereas the DPP-4 inhibitors are mostly weight neutral, and there is a low risk of symptomatic hypoglycemia with both type of agents. The GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors are effective as monotherapy in drug-naive patients as well as in those in whom other treatments (for example with metformin, sulfonylureas, thiazolinediones, etc.) have been inadequate to achieve glycemic control. When combined with other glucose-lowering agents, the GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors further lower FPG and PPG levels, and hemoglobin A1c. Consequently, these agents can be used for all stages of T<sub>2</sub>DM. However, the durability and long-term safety of these drugs remains to be determined. This review focuses on the therapeutic potential of the incretin mimetics and incretin enhancers in treating T<sub>2</sub>DM. In addition, the review also presents some information on the mechanism of action(s), efficacy, pharmacokinetics, pleiotropic effects, drug interactions and adverse effects of the main drugs which modulate levels and activity of endogenous incretins.

## Introducción

Diabetes, specifically type 2 diabetes mellitus (T<sub>2</sub>DM), one of the most common non-communicable diseases, is emerging as an epidemic of the 21th century and a major health problem throughout the globe<sup>1,2</sup>. Complications from diabetes, such as cardiovascular (CV) disease, peripheral vascular disease, stroke, diabetic neuropathy, amputations, renal failure and blindness result in increasing disability, reduced life expectancy and enormous health costs for virtually every society<sup>4</sup>. T<sub>2</sub>DM is a polygenic disease characterized by multiple defects in pancreatic insulin secretion and insulin action in muscle, adipose, and liver<sup>3</sup>. About 80-85% of T<sub>2</sub>DM patients have insulin resistance, and impaired  $\beta$ -cell function occurs in 50% of newly diagnosed T<sub>2</sub>DM<sup>5,6</sup>, and after that there is a linear decline in  $\beta$ -cell number/function with time, despite therapy with sulfonylurea, metformin or insulin<sup>7,8</sup>, as a result of glucotoxicity, lipotoxicity, proinflammatory cytokines, leptin, and islet cell amyloid leading to accelerated apoptosis and loss of  $\beta$ -cell function/mass. The treatment goals for T<sub>2</sub>DM patients are related to effective control of blood glucose, as well as management of coexisting pathologies, such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and excess body weight, and ultimately, to avert the serious complications associated with sustained tissue exposure to hyperglycemia. Although, intensive glycemic control reduces the appearance and progression of microvascular and neuropathic complications (retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy)9-12, long-term intensive therapy to achieve target HbA1c in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients has been associated with increased mortality without significant beneficial effect on major CV events<sup>13</sup>. In addition, tighter glycemic control (using intensive therapy) burdens the patients with complex treatment regimens, increased risk of hypoglycemia, possible weight gain, and relatively high costs, while offering uncertain benefits in return<sup>14-16</sup>. Hence, ideal treatment in T<sub>2</sub>DM should be to control hyperglycemia and its adverse consequences without increasing CV or other risks such as hypoglycemia, by healthy lifestyle, preventive care, and individualizing and optimizing medications (combinations, if necessary) and their doses, for initiation and intensification of therapy to achieve a target hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), depending on patients' circumstances.

Prevention and control of diabetes with diet, weight control and physical activity has been difficult. Treatment of  $T_2DM$  has centered on a) increasing insulin levels, either by direct insulin administration or oral agents that promote insulin secretion (insulin secretagogues, such as oral sulfonylureas), b) improving insulin sensitivity to insulin in tissues, such as by metformin or thiazolidinediones (TZDs), or c) reducing the rate of carbohydrate absorption from the gastrointestinal tract by the use of  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitors and/or agents that decrease gastric motility. Despite significant improvement achieved over the last

decade in the management of T<sub>2</sub>DM with the use of drugs such as metformin, sulphonylureas,  $\alpha$ -glycosidase inhibitors, TZDs and insulin preparations, often in high doses and in combinations, a large proportion of patients are unable to reach recommended therapeutic targets (>60% with HbA1c > 7%)<sup>6,7,9,17</sup>. Furthermore, current treatments do not address the issue of progressive β-cell dysfunction/ failure/loss, such that the development and continued progression of diabetes is a consequence of the failure of the  $\beta$ -cell to overcome insulin resistance. In addition, current therapies, with the exception of insulin, have limited glucose-lowering capacity, and become less effective over time as a result of progressive loss of  $\beta$ -cell function/ number.<sup>17</sup> Also, there are major adverse effects associated with the use of current medications, especially weight gain<sup>2,18</sup>, which may undermine the benefits of glycemic control. Therefore, strategies that aim to prevent hyperglycemia must also aim to stabilize the progressive decline of  $\beta$ -cells. In this regard, intensive efforts have been made and are still continuing to develop newer classes of drugs to control hyperglycemia in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients without insulin and preserve β-cell number/function. Recent breakthroughs in the understanding of incretin-based therapies have provided additional options for the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>DM, and one of the main strategy has been to modulate the levels of incretins (see below), the endogenous substances involved in glucose control to treat T<sub>2</sub>DM.

This review describes the therapeutic potential in treating  $T_2DM$  (used as monotherapy or in combination with other antidiabetic drugs), mechanism of action(s), efficacy, pharmacokinetics, pleiotropic effects, drug interactions and adverse effects of the main drugs which modulate levels and activity of endogenous incretins.

#### The incretins

In 1902, Bayliss and Starling proposed that intestinal mucosa contains a hormone that stimulates the exocrine secretion of the pancreas ("secretin"). In 1932, La Barre proposed the name incretin for a hormone extracted from the upper gut mucosa, which caused hypoglycemia and proposed possible therapy for diabetes. In 1970, gastric inhibitory peptide (GIP) was isolated from intestinal mucosa and sequenced by Brown and co-workers. The original name gastric inhibitory peptide was dropped and GIP was renamed glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide in 1973 after Brown and colleagues, showed that GIP (Table 1) stimulates insulin secretion<sup>19</sup>. Of the several glucagon-like peptides-1 (GLP-1) detected in the intestinal secretions, the GLP-1 (7-36) amide, was found to have the insulinotropic effect in humans<sup>21,22</sup>. It was determined that the incretin effect is mainly due to GLP-1(7-36) amide and GIP (Figure 1). GLP-1 (7-36) is a 30 amino acid peptide produced (from proglucagon) and released from the neuroendocrine L-cells of the lower small intestine (ileum) and the colon, in response to dietary fat and carbohydrates<sup>21-24</sup>, while GIP is a 42-aminoacid peptide secreted from the K-cells of mainly the duodenum and

jejunum<sup>20,23</sup>. Both endogenous incretins have a very short half-life (t), of the order of minutes, as a result of degradation by the serum enzyme dipeptidyl-peptidase-IV (DPP-4, CD-26, EC 3.4.14.5)<sup>25-28</sup>. GLP-1 (7-36) is rapidly degraded (Table 1) to GLP-1 (9-36) with a plasma t of 1-2 minutes, while GIP is also quickly degraded with a t = 4.3 min to GIP  $(3-42)^{20,23-27}$ . Earlier, it was thought that the degradation products of GIP and GLP-1 were inactive, however, it has been shown that some of the extra-pancreatic effects of GLP-1 (lowering of post-prandial glycemia by decrease in hepatic glucose production and vasodilatory effect) are mediated via the metabolite GLP-1 (9-36)<sup>28,29</sup>, and improvement in insulin sensitivity by GIP (3-42)<sup>29</sup>.





Gila monster (Heloderma suspectum)

tors, is the product of a gene mapped to the short arm of human chromosome 6 (6p21.1) and binds specifically GLP-1; it has a much lower affinity for related peptides such as GIP and glucagon<sup>21,26,30,31</sup>. GIP has a GIP-specific G-protein-coupled receptor with no cross-reactivity with the GLP-1 receptor. 22,30,31 Intravenous administration of GLP-1 activates the GLP-1 receptor, which results (Table 2) in a) increased cAMP production and activation of ATPsensitive K channel mediated by  $\beta$ -arrestin-1<sup>32,33</sup>, leading to increased synthesis and release of insulin; b) glucosedependent enhancement of insulin release by improving β-cell responsiveness to glucose via increased expression of glucose transporter-2 (GLUT 2) and glucokinase genes; insulin release is high at high glucose level and decreases as the glucose level drops, c) increase in tissue sensitivity to insulin, d) glucose-dependent secretion of amylin from the pancreas, e) delay in gastric emptying, mediated by vagal afferents<sup>34</sup>, f) suppression of appetite (by a central mechanism, possibly partially mediated by increased serotonin release in the hypothalamus<sup>35</sup>, and producing a feeling of fullness<sup>36</sup>, and satiety<sup>37</sup>, leading to decreased body weight, g) improvement in glycemic control, and h) decrease in glucagon secretion (from  $\alpha$ -cells), possibly mediated by increased somatostatin secretion, resulting in reduced hepatic glucose production (Table 2)<sup>21-23,26,38</sup>. Interaction of GIP with its receptor also increases glucose-dependent pancreatic insulin secretion, but it has no effect on hepatic glucose output, gastric motility, satiety or body weight (Table 2), however, it does induce lipogenesis and glucagon secretion, and suppress

| Table 1. Amino acid sequence of incretins and analogs  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | $\downarrow$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GLP-1 (7-36; human)  | HAEGTFTSDVSSYLEGQAAKEFIAWLVKGR-amide  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GLP-1 (9-36; human)  | EGTFTSDVSSYLEGQAAKEFIAWLVKGR-amide (metabolite of GLP-1)                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ļ   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIP (1-42; human)  | YAEGT-FISDY-SIAMD-KIHQQ-DFVNW-LAQKG-KKNDW-KHNHI-TQ                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIP (3-42; human)  | EGT-FISDY-SIAMD-KIHQQ-DFVNW-LAQKG-KKNDW-KHNHI-TQ (metabolite of GIP)              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ţ   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exendin-4 (synthetic)<br>(Exenatide)   | HGEGTFTSDLSKQMEEEAVRLFIEWLKNGGPSSGAPPPS-amide                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exendin (9-39)   | DLSKQMEEEAVRLFIEWLKNGGPSSGAPPPS-amide (major circulating metabolite of exendin-4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ţ   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liraglutide (1-31)   | HAEGTFTSDVSSYLEGQAAKEFIAWLVRGR  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| l<br>C-16-fatty acid-albumin   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A = Ala; D = Asp; E = Glu; F = Phe; G = Gly; H = His; I = Ile; K = Lys; L = Leu; M = Met; N = Asn; P = Pro; R = Arg;<br>Q = Gln; S = Ser; T = Thr; V = Val; W = Trp; Y = Tyr |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The position of action of the enzyme DPP-4 is indicated by1 (above) to give the respective metabolites; other metabolites are also formed by the action of various enzymes.

#### Incretin receptors

Both the incretins have specific receptors. The GLP-1 receptor, a member of the seven-transmembrane domain glucagon receptor family of G-protein-coupled recep-

gastric acid secretion<sup>23,26,28</sup>. In addition to improving insulin sensitivity, the incretins also promote proliferation/ neogenesis of  $\beta$ -cells and prevent loss of  $\beta$ -cells by apoptosis, stimulate proinsulin gene transcription and translation<sup>39,40,23,26,28</sup>. Additionally, there are several mechanisms of regulating hepatic and muscle glucose flux via GLP-1 receptor, independent of insulin effect<sup>41,42</sup>. Receptors for both GLP-1 are found in the pancreatic islet  $\beta$ -cells, as well as in the stomach, adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, bone, heart, kidney, stomach, lung and brain<sup>20,21,23,27</sup> and GIP receptors are mainly expressed in  $\beta$ -cells<sup>23,27</sup>.

| Table 2. Comparative actions of GLP-1 and GIP                             |       |      |
|---|-------|------|
|   | GLP-1 | GIP  |
| Increase glucose-dependent insulin secretion<br>(from pancreatic β-cells) | Yes   | Yes* |
| Enhance insulin sensitivity   | Yes   | Yes  |
| Suppress glucagon secretion (from pancreatic $\alpha$ -cells)             | Yes   | No*  |
| Stimulate insulin biosynthesis  | Yes   | Yes  |
| Decrease apoptosis of β-cells   | Yes   | Yes  |
| Lower blood glucose   | Yes   | Yes  |
| Inhibit gastric emptying (decrease gastric motility)                      | Yes   | No   |
| Inhibit gastric acid secretion  | Yes   | Yes  |
| Inhibit hepatic insulin extraction  | Yes   | Yes  |
| Inhibit post-prandial glucose excursion                                   | Yes   | Yes  |
| Extrapancreatic glucose lowering  | Yes   | Yes  |
| Enhance satiety (suppress appetite)                                       | Yes   | No*  |
| Decrease body weight  | Yes   | No*  |
| Enhance β-cell survival   | Yes   | Yes  |
| Increase β-cell neogenesis  | Yes   | Yes  |
| Stimulate β-cell expansion (mass)   | Yes   | Yes  |
| * = the effect is not consistent  |       |      |

Incretins in normoglycemic individuals and in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

In healthy normoglycemic individuals, plasma glucose levels are maintained within a narrow range by pancreatic insulin and glucagon (having opposite effects on glucose), and by glucoregulatory hormones, amylin and the incretins (GLP-1 and GIP)<sup>22,23</sup>. Ingestion of nutrients results in the release of the incretins, which stimulate the release of insulin from the pancreatic β-cells<sup>20,21</sup>. Incretin action is required for glucose homeostasis (24-hr blood glucose control) as well as control of postprandial glucose; about 50-70% of stimulation of insulin secretion after a meal is due to incretin effect<sup>39,40</sup>.

In  $T_2DM$ , the amount of insulin released from the  $\beta$ -cells in response to a meal is insufficient and/or the target tissues (fat, liver and muscle) develop insulin resistance (decreased insulin sensitivity). In addition, the incretin effect is either greatly impaired as a result of decrease in postprandial GLP-1 secretion (about 15%) and a marked reduction in insulinotropic response of  $\beta$ -cells to GIP<sup>25,43-46</sup>; hyperglycemia decreases the levels of GIP and GLP-1<sup>47</sup>. The reduced incretin effect is believed to contribute to impaired regulation of insulin and glucagon secretion in T<sub>2</sub>DM. This impaired action of incretins

in  $T_2DM$  patients may be, at least partly, restored by improved glycemic control, as shown in studies involving intensive diabetic therapy<sup>39,48</sup>.

#### Treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus based upon modulation of incretins

An option for the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>DM involves modulation of levels of endogenous incretins, mainly GLP-1, which control the release of insulin and glucagon from the pancreas in response to meals. Since, the levels of GLP-1 are decreased in T<sub>2</sub>DM<sup>43-46</sup> and both GLP-1 and GIP have a very short t, of the order of minutes, as a result of degradation by DPP-420,23,27, enhancement of incretin action has been achieved by the development of novel metabolically stable activators of the GLP-1 receptor (incretin-mimetics)47-70, as well as, by inhibitors of DPP-4 (incretin enhancers)<sup>39,49,52,56-60,62-65,67,69-83,84</sup>

#### GLP-1 receptor agonists -- Incretinmimetics

Because of very short t, native GLP-1 is not useful as a therapeutic agent unless administered by continuous subcutaneous infusion<sup>24,61</sup>. Hence, several synthetic incretin-mimetics with longer t have recently been introduced, such as the exendins, which act by stimulating the

GLP-1 receptors, and thus, stimulating glucose-dependent insulin secretion and inhibiting glucagon release after meals. Exendin-4, a 39 amino acid peptide found in the saliva (venom) and isolated from the salivary gland of the lizard Heloderma suspectum (Gila monster)<sup>85</sup>, is a naturally occurring analog of GLP-1 (53% homology to GLP-1) (Table 4), that binds and activates the GLP-1 receptor with the same potency as GLP-1<sup>86-87</sup>. A synthetic version of exendin 4 (exenatide, 39-aminoacid peptide, Byettta<sup>®)</sup> is an insulin secretagogue with glucoregulatory effect, which has been approved in the USA (2005) as add-on therapy in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients with metformin, TZDs, sulfonylureas, and/or insulin to improve glucose control<sup>88-90</sup>. Though the effects of exendin-4 (exenatide) treatment on glucose control are likely due to several actions that are similar to those of GLP-1, the activity of exendin-4 is much greater than that of GLP-1 in controlling hyperglycemia, which may be due to its resistance

to degradation by DPP- 4<sup>91</sup>. In human plasma it has a t of 9.6 hr<sup>92</sup>, but in the circulation the t is 2.4 hr<sup>93</sup>. In response to a meal, exenatide a) causes initial rapid release of insulin (from  $\beta$ -cells), b) suppresses pancreatic glucagon release, c) delays gastric emptying and thus decreases appearance of glucose after a meal, and d) reduces appetite - all of which function to lower blood glucose<sup>55,90,91</sup>. The results of the clinical studies with exenatide have been reviewed<sup>56-58,62,65,70,94</sup>; some data are presented in **Table 4**, which demonstrate that exenatide improves glycemic control (reduces HbA1c by 0.8%-1.4%) and decreases body weight by ~2-4 kg in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients<sup>88,94-110</sup>, who fail to achieve glycemic control with metformin and/or a sulfonylurea. Monotherapy with exenatide (5-10 g/dose) for 24 weeks in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients, in

addition to decreasing HbA1c (0.7-0.9%), resulted in a significant weight loss (2.8-3.1 kg)<sup>95</sup>. Most patients using exenatide slowly lose weight, and generally the greatest weight loss is achieved by people who are the most overweight at the beginning of exenatide therapy. Sustained glycemic control (reduction of HbA1c by about 1%) and weight loss continues with long-term therapy (>5 kg in 2-3 yr) with exetanide<sup>97,106,108</sup>. No ethnic differences were found in the efficacy and safety of exenatide<sup>110</sup>. Since metformin has been found to inhibit DPP-4 activity<sup>114</sup>, addition of metformin to the antidiabetic regimen would enhance the beneficial effects of GLP-1 analogs. The use of exenatide with meglitinides and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitors has not been studied. Exenatide is administered (5-10g) twice daily subcutaneously (s.c.) before or within 60

| Table 4. Clinical studies with liraglutide |                               |      |                           |                         |                    |                             |                          |  |
|--|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Reference                                  | Dose of<br>liraglutide (LIRA) | N    | Study<br>Duration<br>(wk) | Other<br>treatment      | Change<br>in HbA1c | Change<br>in FPG<br>(mg/dL) | Change in<br>Weight (kg) |  |
| Seino <sup>131</sup>                       | LIRA-0.5-0.9 mg/d             | 226  | 14                        | Diet                    | - 1.7%             | - 46                        | 0                        |  |
| Madsbad <sup>133</sup>                     | LIRA- 0.225-0.450 mg/d.       | 193  | 12                        | Diet                    | - 0.2% to 0.5%     | - 14 to - 23                | - 0.7 to -1.             |  |
|  | LIRA- 0.60-0.75 mg/d.         |      | 12                        | Diet                    | - 0.5%             | - 22 to - 34                | - 0.3 to -0.4            |  |
|  | Placebo                       |      | 12                        | Diet                    | + 0.2%             | + 13                        | 0.0                      |  |
|  | Glimepiride                   |      | 12                        | Diet                    | - 0.6%             | - 38                        | +1.0                     |  |
| Harder <sup>134</sup>                      | LIRA 0.6 mg/d                 | 33   | 8                         | Diet                    | - 0.3%             | - 5                         | - 0.7                    |  |
|  | Placebo                       |      | 8                         | Diet                    | + 0.5%             | + 5                         | - 0.9                    |  |
| Vilsbøll <sup>129</sup>                    | LIRA-0.6 mg/d                 | 165  | 14                        | Diet                    | - 1.0%             | - 36                        | + 0.2                    |  |
|  | LIRA-1.2 mg/d                 |      | 14                        | Diet                    | - 1.4%             | - 54                        | - 0.7                    |  |
|  | LIRA-1.9 mg/d                 |      | 14                        | Diet                    | - 1.5%             | - 54                        | - 3.0                    |  |
|  | Placebo                       |      | 14                        | Diet                    | +0.2%              | + 5                         | - 1.8                    |  |
| Garber <sup>135</sup>                      | LIRA-1.2 mg/d                 | 764  | 52                        | Diet                    | - 0.8%             | - 15                        | - 2.0                    |  |
|  | LIRA 1.8 mg/d                 |      | 52                        | Diet                    | - 1.1%             | - 26                        | - 2.5                    |  |
|  | Glimepiride 8 mg/d            |      | 52                        | Diet                    | - 0.51%            | - 5                         | + 1.1                    |  |
| Feinglos <sup>130</sup>                    | LIRA-0.225 mg/d               | 210  | 12                        | Diet                    | + 1.3%             | + 36                        | - 1.9%                   |  |
|  | LIRA-0.450 mg/d               |      | 12                        | Diet                    | + 0.9%             | + 11                        | - 1.2%                   |  |
|  | LIRA-0.600 mg/d               |      | 12                        | Diet                    | + 0.2%             | + 0                         | - 0.6%                   |  |
|  | LIRA-0.750 mg/d               |      | 12                        | Diet                    | + 0.3%             | + 16                        | - 0.9%                   |  |
|  | Placebo                       |      | 12                        | Metformin               | + 0.1%             | - 4                         | - 0.6%                   |  |
| Nauck <sup>132</sup>                       | LIRA-0.5-2.0 mg/d             | 144  | 5                         | Metformin + SU          | - 0.8%             | - 50                        | - 1.5                    |  |
| Nauck <sup>136</sup>                       | LIRA- 0.6 mg/d                | 1091 | 26                        | Metformin ≥ 1 g/d       | - 0.7%             | - 20                        | - 1.8                    |  |
|  | LIRA- 1.2 mg/d                |      | 26                        | Metformin ≥ 1 g/d       | - 1.0%             | - 29                        | - 2.6                    |  |
|  | LIRA- 1.8 mg/d                |      | 26                        | Metformin ≥ 1 g/d       | - 1.0%             | - 31                        | - 2.8                    |  |
|  | Glimepiride 4 mg/d            |      | 26                        | Metformin ≥ 1 g/d       | + 0.1%             | - 23                        | + 1.0                    |  |
| Marre <sup>137</sup>                       | LIRA-1.2-1.8 mg/d             | 1041 | 26                        | Glimepiride 2-4 mg/d    | - 1.1%             | - 31                        | - 0.2                    |  |
|  | Rosiglitazone 4 mg/d          |      | 26                        | Glimepiride 2-4 mg/d    | - 0.4%             | - 18                        | + 2.1                    |  |
|  | Placebo                       |      | 26                        | Glimepiride 2-4 mg/d    | + 0.2%             | + 16                        | - 2.0                    |  |
| Russell-Jones139                           | LIRA-1.8 mg/d                 |      |                           | Metformin + glimepiride | - 1.3%             |                             | -1.8                     |  |
|  | Placebo                       |      |                           | Metformin + glimepiride | - 0.2%             |                             |                          |  |
| Buse <sup>111</sup>                        | LIRA-1.8 mg/d                 | 464  | 26                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.1%             | - 29                        | - 3.2                    |  |
|  | EX-10 ug b.i.d.               |      | 26                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.8%             | - 11                        | - 2 .9                   |  |

Wk = week; b.i.d. = twice a day; t.i.d. = three-times a day; d = day; FPG = fasting plasma glucose levels; SU = sulfonylurea; LIRA = liraglutide; EX = exenatide. For glucose levels, to convert mg/dL to mmol/L divide by 18

min of the morning and evening meals<sup>95,96</sup>. Unlike sulfonylureas and meglitinides, exenatide increases insulin synthesis and secretion only in the presence of glucose, lessening the risk of hypoglycemia. However, if used in combination with sulfonylureas, exenatide may increase the risk of sulfonylurea-induced hypoglycemia<sup>102</sup>, and therefore, the dose of sulfonylurea should be decreased if co-administered with exenatide. In patients with normal renal function, doses higher than 2.5g are needed for adequate glycemic response, but in patients with renal dysfunction dose adjustment is required<sup>115</sup>; it is contraindicated in patients with severe renal impairment.

The main adverse effect of exenatide is nausea, which is mild to moderate depending on the dose, and may be transient. However, in some studies, up to 14% patients had to discontinue the drug due to nausea<sup>101-103,105</sup>. Other gastrointestinal symptoms include dyspepsia, vomiting and diarrhea<sup>90</sup>. Exenatide may also cause acute pancreatitis<sup>116</sup>, abdominal pain with or without vomiting, and sometimes renal failure<sup>117</sup>. In addition to being injected once or twice a day, other drawbacks of exenatide include lack of long-term studies to evaluate sustained efficacy and safety, as well as high cost.

The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of exenatide have been evaluated<sup>90,118-121</sup>; after a single s.c. injection (5-10 g), the drug is rapidly absorbed with mean peak plasma levels (tmax) achieved in 1.0-3.0 hr<sup>90</sup>. Based on animal studies, the bioavailability of exenatide after s.c. injection has been estimated to be between 65% and 75%<sup>90</sup>. The mean apparent volume of distribution (Vd) after administration of a single s.c. dose is 28.3 L.90 Plasma levels decrease with a mean  $t_{1/2}$  of 2.4 hr (range 0.9-4.0 hr)90,118-120. The drug does not accumulate after repeated dosing. No ethnic differences were observed in the pharmacokinetics of exenatide<sup>119-121</sup>. The t of exenatide is increased in patients with renal dysfunction and it is poorly tolerated in patients with severe renal insufficiency and end-stage renal disease115; doses of 5-10g are unsuitable in such patients. The drug is eliminated predominantly by glomerular filtration followed by proteolytic degradation<sup>90</sup>. There are no significant pharmacokinetic interactions of exenatide with warfarin<sup>121</sup>, digoxin<sup>122</sup>, lisinopril<sup>123</sup>, and lovastatin<sup>124</sup>.

#### GLP-1 analogs with long duration of action

A long-acting-release (LAR) formula of exenatide, which is to be injected once a week is under development. Initial trials have shown that the LAR formulation is approximately twice as effective as the original twice-daily injectable form, with a similar safety profile but with rate of nausea rates and greater weight loss<sup>112,113,125,126</sup>. Exenatide LAR injection (in doses of 0.8-2.0mg), administered onceweekly for 15 weeks with or without metformin, reduced HbA1c by 1.4-1.7%<sup>113</sup>. In a 30-week study, exenatide LAR (2.0 mg) once weekly was found to be superior to exenatide (10 g) twice daily (**Table 3**) in terms of glycemic control (HbA1c of 6.4% versus 6.8%) and the number of  $T_2DM$  patients achieving HbA1c of <7.0% (77% versus 61%)<sup>112,113</sup>. Adverse effects of exenatide-LAR include nausea, gastroenteritis and hypoglycemia<sup>113,114</sup>.

Several other long-acting analogs of GLP-1 such as liraglutide (NN2211; Victoza®) and albiglutide (naliglutide, GSK716155, Albugon<sup>®</sup>, Syncria<sup>®</sup>), are being developed for the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>DM. Liraglutide is a 30-amino acid peptide attached to a fatty acid molecule and then bonded to albumin (Table 2)127,128; it has 97% homology with GLP-1<sup>128</sup>. After s.c. administration, the drug is released slowly into circulation (tmax = 9-13 hr), and then cleared slowly (t of 11-15 hr) and excreted by the kidney<sup>127,128</sup>. The duration of action is about 24-hr, allowing once-daily s.c. dosing, which effectively reduces fasting as well as postprandial hyperglycemia (12 hr after administration) (Table 5) by increasing insulin secretion, delaying gastric emptying, and suppressing prandial glucagon secretion<sup>127,128</sup>. Liraglutide administration (0.9 mg/day for 14 weeks) resulted in 75% of patients achieving HbA1c <7.0% and 57% achieving HbA1c <6.5%<sup>129</sup>, and once daily administration (0.75-2 mg for 5-12 weeks) caused significant improvement in glycemic control (HbA1c reduction of 0.8-1.9%) and a weight loss of up to 3.0 kg128,130, as compared to placebo or glimepiride<sup>131</sup>; liraglutide administration also decreased appetite causing minimal side effects (nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea) with negligible risk of hypoglycemia<sup>128</sup>. The clinical efficacy of liraglutide, given as monotherapy or in combination with other antidiabetic drugs, has been amply demonstrated in a large number of clinical trials including the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes series (LEAD-1 to -6) of studies in more than 4400 T<sub>2</sub>DM patients (Table 6)<sup>111,127-141</sup>. In addition to robust glycemic control, liraglutide reduced weight in most patients, improved beta-cell function, lowered blood pressure and triglycerides, and was well tolerated with minimal risk of hypoglycemia; addition of liraglutide to oral antidiabetic regimen improved glycemic control<sup>128,129,139,140</sup>. A once-aday s.c. injection may be sufficient in normal use. Dosage adjustment may not be required in patients with renal impairment<sup>142</sup>. In some populations, especially at higher doses, liraglutide decreases body weight<sup>111,129,131,134,136,138</sup>. Some studies suggest that the efficacy and tolerability of liraglutide administered once-a-day is comparable or even better than exenatide given twice-a-day<sup>94,111</sup>. An ethnic difference in the effects of liraglutide was observed, in that in Japanese T<sub>2</sub>DM patients given half the dose of the Caucasian patients, the reduction in HbA1c was more prominent, suggesting that liraglutide may be more effective in Asian than in Caucasian patients possibly due to their improvement of early phase insulin secretion<sup>143</sup>. The main adverse effects of liraglutide include nausea, vomiting and diarrhea<sup>128,135-137</sup>. The US-FDA advisory includes the risk of developing pancreatitis and papillary thyroid tumors<sup>144,145</sup>. After s.c. administration of 1.0 mg of liraglutide in healthy individuals, Cmax of 15-20 nmol/L was obtained at tmax of 12-14 hr; plasma t of liraglutide

**Jiabetes** Internacional

41

| Reference                 | Dose of<br>sitagliptin (SITA) | N    | Study<br>Duration<br>(wk) | Other<br>treatment         | Change<br>in HbA1c | Change in<br>FPG (mg/dL) | Change in<br>Weight (kg |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Aschner <sup>185</sup>    | SITA -100 mg/d                | 741  | 24                        | Diet                       | - 0.79%            | - 13 to 18               | - 0.2                   |
|                           | SITA -200 mg/d                |      | 24                        | Diet                       | - 0.94%            | - 16 to 22               | - 0.1                   |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Diet                       | + 0.18%            | + 5                      | - 1.1                   |
| Raz <sup>203</sup>        | SITA -100 mg/d                | 521  | 18                        | Diet                       | - 0.48%            | - 13                     | - 0.2                   |
|                           | SITA -200 mg/d                |      | 18                        | Diet                       | - 0.36%            | - 11                     | - 0.6                   |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 18                        | Diet                       | + 0.12%            | + 7                      | - 0.7                   |
| Hanefield191              | SITA - 25-50 mg b.i.d./q.d.   | 555  | 12                        | Diet                       | - 0.4 to -0.6%     | -11 to -17               | 0                       |
| Nonaka <sup>205</sup>     | SITA - 50 mg b.i.d.           | 151  | 12                        | Diet + exercise            | - 1.3%             | - 49                     |                         |
|                           | SITA - 100 mg q.d.            |      | 12                        | Diet + exercise            | - 0.8%             | - 41                     |                         |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 12                        | Diet + exercise            | - 0.2%             | - 7                      |                         |
| Charbonnel <sup>211</sup> | SITA -100 mg/d                | 701  | 24                        | Metformin (≥1500 mg/d)     | - 0.67%            | - 16                     | - 0.6                   |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Metformin (≥1500 mg/d)     | - 0.02%            | + 9                      | - 0.7                   |
| Rosenstock <sup>212</sup> | SITA -100 mg/d                | 353  | 24                        | Pioglitazone 30-45 mg/d    | - 0.85%            | - 16                     | +1.8                    |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Pioglitazone 30-45 mg/d    | - 0.15%            | 0                        | +1.5                    |
| Scott <sup>200</sup>      | SITA - 12.5 – 50 mg b.i.d.    | 743  | 12                        | Diet + exercise            | - 0.4% to -0.8%    | -13 to -18               | + 0.1 to +0.            |
|                           | Glipizide (5-20 mg/d)         |      | 12                        | Diet + exercise            | - 0.76% to -1.38%  | + 23                     |                         |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 12                        | Diet + exercise            | + 0.23%            | + 8                      |                         |
| Nauck <sup>215</sup>      | SITA -100 mg/d                | 1172 | 52                        | Metformin ≥ 1500 mg/d      | - 0.67%            | - 10                     | - 1.5                   |
|                           | Glipizide (5-20 mg/d)         |      | 52                        | Metformin ≥ 1500 mg/d      | - 0.67%            | - 8                      | +1.1                    |
| Brazg <sup>214</sup>      | SITA - 100 mg/d               | 28   | 4                         | Metformin ≥ 1500 mg/d      | - 22               |                          |                         |
| -                         | Placebo                       |      | 4                         | Metformin ≥ 1500 mg/d      | - 7                |                          |                         |
| Goldstein <sup>216</sup>  | SITA- 100 mg/d                | 1091 | 24                        | Diet + exercise            | - 0.83%            | - 23                     |                         |
|                           | SITA- 50 mg/d                 |      | 24                        | Metformin 1000 mg/d + Diet | - 1.5%             | - 53                     |                         |
|                           | SITA- 50 mg/d.                |      | 24                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d + Diet | - 2.07%            | - 70                     |                         |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Metformin 1000 mg/d + Diet | - 0.99%            | - 33                     |                         |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Metformin 1000 mg/d + Diet | - 1.3%             | - 35                     |                         |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Diet + exercise            | + 17%              | + 6                      |                         |
| Hermansen <sup>217</sup>  | SITA -100 mg/d                | 441  | 24                        | Glimepiride                | - 0.30%            | - 2                      | +1.1                    |
|                           | SITA -100 mg/d                |      | 24                        | Glimepiride/Metformin      | - 0.59%            | - 7                      | + 0.4                   |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Glimepiride                | + 0.27%            | + 18                     | 0                       |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 24                        | Glimepiride/Metformin      | + 0.30%            | + 12                     | - 0.7                   |
| Scott <sup>213</sup>      | SITA -100 mg/d                | 273  | 18                        | Metformin ≥1500 mg/d.      | - 0.7%             | - 11                     | - 0.4                   |
|                           | Roziglitazone 8 mg/d          |      | 18                        | <br>Metformin ≥1500 mg/d.  | - 0.8%             | - 23                     | + 1.5                   |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 18                        | 0<br>Metformin ≥1500 mg/d  | - 0.2%             | - 54                     | - 0.8                   |
| Mohan <sup>218</sup>      | SITA -100 mg/d                |      | 18                        | Metformin 1500 mg/d.       | - 1.0%             | - 31                     |                         |
|                           | Roziglitazone 8 mg/d          |      | 18                        | Metformin 1500 mg/d        | - 0.8%             | - 23                     | + 1.5                   |
|                           | Placebo                       |      | 18                        | Metformin 1500 mg/d.       | - 0.2%             | - 54                     | - 0.8                   |

Wk = week; b.i.d. = twice a day; t.i.d. = three-times a day; d = day; N = number of patients in the study; d = per day; FPG = fasting plasma glucose levels; SU = sulfonylurea; for glucose levels, to convert mg/dL to mmol/L divide by 18

was 11-15 hr<sup>146</sup>. There is no effect of age or gender on the pharmacokinetics of liraglutide<sup>146</sup>. Liraglutide is being considered for approval by the US-FDA in 2009.

Another drug of this class, albiglutide, a recombinant human GLP-1-albumin-fusion protein (genetic fusion of a DPP-4-resistant GLP-1 dimer to human albumin) has a long duration of action (t = 6-8 days) and is to be injected every 5-8 days to control hyperglycemia<sup>147,148</sup>. In (**Table 5**) addition to controlling blood sugar, it also suppresses appetite. In T<sub>2</sub>DM patients, albiglutide (16-64 mg given by s.c. injection) improved fasting plasma glucose and postprandial glucose with a low adverse effect profile (mainly, headache, nausea and flatulence)<sup>147,148</sup>. After injection, albiglutide is readily absorbed but tmax is reached in 3-5 days and its plasma t is between 6 and 8 days<sup>147,148</sup>.

Although, the GLP-1 receptor agonists (incretin mimetics) are effective in reducing HbA1c and post-prandial glucose in patients failing sulphonylurea and/or metformin therapy, the role of these drugs in the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>DM is still debated. An earlier consensus algorithm of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD)149, http:// www.eje-online.org/cgi/content/full/160/6/909 - BIB4 suggested to limit the use of GLP-1 receptor agonists only to some specific cases, without considering those agents as the main-line drugs. The reasons for this exclusion were their perceived limited efficacy in decreasing HbA1c in comparison with other agents, their poorly defined safety profile, and their cost<sup>94</sup>. However, the newer Consensus algorithm issued by ADA/EASD suggests that GLP-1 receptor agonists can be used, in selected cases, as an add-on treatment to metformin<sup>150</sup>.

#### **GIP** analogs and **GIP** receptor antagonists

In addition to the insulinotropic action of GIP on the pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell, GIP also has been shown to stimulate β-cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis in islet cell lines. Additionally, functional GIP receptors have been identified on adipocytes, which have been shown to stimulate glucose transport, increase fatty acid synthesis, and stimulate lipoprotein lipase activity in animal models. Thus, there is some interest in GIP analogs as novel therapeutic option for the treatment of T<sub>2</sub>DM. However, there are several limitations to using GIP itself as a therapeutic agent: a) GIP (1-42) has a short biological t in the circulation due to rapid cleavage and degradation by DPP-4, b) the cleaved metabolite (GIP 3-42) (Table 2) is not only inactive but may also function as a GIP receptor antagonist in vivo, c) clinical GIP infusion studies in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients have resulted in blunted insulin responses, since GIP no longer modulates glucose-dependent insulin secretion in T<sub>2</sub>DM even at supraphysiological plasma levels.<sup>22</sup> However, the interaction of GIP with its functional receptor on adipocytes results in a) increase in lipoprotein lipase, b) stimulation of lipogenesis, c) enhancement of fatty acid and glucose uptake, d) augmentation of insulin-mediated fatty acid incorporation, and e) inhibition of both glucagon- and adrenergic-receptor mediated lipolysis.<sup>23,55</sup> Thus, GIP promotes energy storage and reduces insulin at the adipocyte level while it stimulates insulin secretion from the  $\beta$ -cells. Hence, there is interest in developing GIP receptor agonist for the treatment of obesity and insulin resistance.<sup>55</sup> Although, several GIP analogs have been synthesized, no human studies with GIP analogs have been reported.

#### Pleiotropic effects of incretins and incretin-mimetics

The incretins have a number of pleiotropic (extrapancreatic) actions which have therapeutic benefits beyond controlling hyperglycemia<sup>20,21,151-153</sup>. As mentioned earlier, GLP-1 and exenatide delay gastric emptying, suppress appetite and cause satiety by central mechanism(s), which translates into reduction in body weight. GLP-1, exenatide and liraglutide have been shown to increase insulin sensitivity and  $\beta$ -cell function (Table 3)<sup>111,128,135,139,140,154-</sup> <sup>156</sup> In animal experiments, both exenatide and liraglutide increased  $\beta$ -cell mass, by increasing proliferation and neogenesis and reduction in apoptosis 27,38,157-159; it is not known if these effect on  $\beta$ -cells are also applicable to humans. GLP-1 and analogs regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis in various tissues (such as pancreas, gut and the CNS)<sup>158</sup>. Exenatide also improves lipid profile (decrease in total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, apo B, and an increase in HDL-cholesterol)<sup>100</sup>. Liraglutide administration also decreases triglyceride levels<sup>111,128</sup>. Furthermore, exenatide treatment in patients with the metabolic syndrome produced significant improvement in cardiometabolic risk factors and anthropometric parameters<sup>160</sup>. Since exendin-4 was shown to reverse hepatic steatosis in ob/ob mice<sup>161</sup>, the GLP-1 mimetics may be a therapeutic option for (human) hepatic steatosis<sup>162</sup>.

Activation of GLP-1 receptors by GLP-1 in the endothelium, and cardiac and vascular myocytes, has been shown to increase levels of cAMP and cGMP resulting in vasodilation, enhanced coronary blood flow, and increased functional recovery and cardiomyocyte viability after ischemia-reperfusion injury in experimental studies<sup>163,164</sup>. Exenatide has also been reported to prevent ischemicreperfusion injury in experimental animal models<sup>165</sup>. It is possible that the incretins and incretin-mimetics may protect the heart against ischemia-reperfusion injury in humans. The central action of GLP-1 also contributes to central regulation of metabolic and cardiovascular homeostasis<sup>155</sup>, GLP-1 decreases BP and increases myocardial contractility<sup>151</sup>, and in heart failure patients, infusion of GLP-1 improves endothelial function and symptoms of heart failure<sup>43,159,166,167</sup>. Some of these beneficial effects are mediated via a nitric oxide synthase-requiring mechanism that is independent of the interaction of GLP-1 with its receptor<sup>168</sup>. Exenatide decreased both the systolic and diastolic BP in patients following 82-week treatment, probably as a secondary consequence of improvements in blood levels of glucose and lipids and a reduction in body weight<sup>97</sup>. In other short term (24-week)<sup>95</sup> and long term (82-week)107 studies, exenatide (5-10g bid) lowered

| Table 3. Clinical studies with exenatide and exenatide LAR |                           |     |                           |                         |                    |                  |                                     |  |
|--|---------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Reference  | Dose of<br>exenatide (EX) | N   | Study<br>Duration<br>(wk) | Other<br>treatment      | Change<br>in HbA1c | Change<br>in FPG | Change in<br>Weight (kg)<br>(mg/dL) |  |
| Moretto <sup>95</sup>                                      | EX- 5 µg b.i.d.           | 232 | 24                        | Diet/exercise           | - 0.7%             | - 18             | - 2.8                               |  |
|  | EX-10 ug b.i.d.           |     | 24                        | Diet/exercise           | - 0.9%             | - 19             | - 3.1                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 24                        | Diet/exercise           | - 0.2%             | - 5              | - 1.4                               |  |
| Nelson <sup>96</sup>                                       | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           | 99  | 4                         | Diet/exercise           | - 0.4%             | - 36             |                                     |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 4                         | Diet/exercise           | + 0.2%             | + 11             |                                     |  |
| Nelson <sup>96</sup>                                       | EX-10 µg b.i.d./20 q.d.   | 127 | 30                        | Diet/metformin          | - 0.9%             |                  | - 4.3                               |  |
|  | EX-10 ug b.i.d./20 q.d.   |     | 30                        | Diet/exercise           | - 1.0%             |                  | - 3.7                               |  |
| Fineman <sup>88</sup>                                      | EX-0.08 µg b.i.d./t.i.d.  | 109 | 4                         | SU <u>+</u> Metformin   | - 1.01.1%          |                  |                                     |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 4                         | SU <u>+</u> Metformin   | - 0.3%             |                  |                                     |  |
| Ratner97   | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           | 92  | 82                        | Metformin               | - 1.3%             | - 31             | 5.3                                 |  |
| Poon98   | EX-5 µg b.i.d.            | 156 | 4                         | Diet/exercise/metformin | - 0.4%             | - 20             | - 1.4                               |  |
|  | Ex-10 ug b.i.d.           |     | 4                         | Diet/exercise/metformin | - 0.5%             | - 17             | - 1.8                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 4                         | Diet/exercise/metformin | + 0.1%             | + 7              | 0                                   |  |
| Barnett99  | Ex-10 ug b.i.d.           | 138 | 16                        | Metformin/SU            | - 1.4%             | - 52             | - 2.2                               |  |
|  | Insulin glargine          |     | 16                        | Metformin/SU            | - 1.4%             | - 74             | +2.3                                |  |
| Klonoff <sup>100</sup>                                     | EX-5-10 ug b.i.d.         | 217 | 16                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.0%             |                  | - 5.3                               |  |
|  | Placebo.                  |     | 16                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.4%             | - 0.2            | - 0.1                               |  |
| Monami <sup>94</sup>                                       | EX-5-10 ug b.i.d.         | 466 | 16                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.2%             | - 1.3            | - 1.2                               |  |
|  | Placebo.                  |     | 16                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.4%             | - 0.2            | - 0.1                               |  |
| deFronzo <sup>101</sup>                                    | EX- 5µg b.i.d.            | 336 | 30                        | Metformin (1000 mg/d)   | - 0.4%             | - 7              | - 1.6                               |  |
|  | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           |     | 30                        | Metformin (1000 mg/d)   | - 0.8%             | - 11             | - 2.8                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 30                        | Metformin (1000 mg/d)   | + 0.2%             | +14              | - 0.3                               |  |
| Buse <sup>102</sup>  | Ex- 5 µg b.i.d.           | 377 | 30                        | Glimepiride (4 mg/d)    | - 0.5%             | - 5              | - 0.9                               |  |
|  | EX-10 µg b.id             |     | 30                        | Glimepiride (4 mg/d)    | - 0.9%             | - 11             | - 1.6                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 30                        | Glimepiride (4 mg/d)    | + 0.1%             | + 7              | - 0.6                               |  |
| Kendall <sup>103</sup>                                     | EX-5 µg b.i.d.            | 733 | 30                        | Metformin + SU          | - 0.6%             | - 9              | - 1.6                               |  |
|  | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           |     | 30                        | Metformin + SU          | - 0.8%             | - 11             | - 1.6                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 30                        | Metformin + SU          | + 0.2%             | +14              | - 0.9                               |  |
| Zinman <sup>104</sup>                                      | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           | 233 | 16                        | Metformin + TZD         | - 0.9%             | - 29             | - 1.8                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 16                        | Metformin + TZD         | + 0.1%             | + 2              | - 0.2                               |  |
| Heine <sup>105</sup>                                       | EX-10 µg                  | 551 | 26                        | Metformin + SU          | - 1.1%             | - 26             | - 2.3                               |  |
|  | Insulin glargine          |     | 26                        | Metformin + SU          | - 1.1%             | - 52             | +1.8                                |  |
| Nauck <sup>106</sup>                                       | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           | 501 | 52                        | Metformin + SU          | - 1.0%             | - 32             | - 2.5                               |  |
|  | Biphasic insulin          |     | 52                        | Metfromin + SU          | - 0.9%             | - 31             | + 2.9                               |  |
| Blonde <sup>107</sup>                                      | EX-5-10 µg b.i.d.         | 314 | 82                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.1%             |                  | - 4.4                               |  |
|  | EX-5-10 µg b.i.d.         | 551 | 82                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.9%             |                  | - 3.5                               |  |
| Buse <sup>108</sup>  | EX-5-10 µg b.i.d.         | 283 | 30                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.9%             |                  | - 2.1                               |  |
|  | EX-5-10 µg b.i.d.         |     | 52                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.1%             |                  | - 4.7                               |  |
| Brodows <sup>109</sup>                                     | EX-5-10 µg b.i.d.         | 314 | 24                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.7% to -0.9%    | - 18             | - 2.8 to -3.1                       |  |
| Gao <sup>110</sup>   | EX-5-10 ug b.i.d.         | 466 | 16                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.2%             | - 1.3            | - 1.2                               |  |
|  | Placebo.                  |     | 16                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.4%             | - 0.2            | - 0.1                               |  |
| Buse <sup>111</sup>  | EX-10 ug b.i.d.           | 464 | 26                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 0.8%             | - 11             | - 2.9                               |  |
|  | Liraglutide 1.8 mg/d      |     | 26                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.1%             | - 29             | - 3.2                               |  |
| Drucker <sup>112</sup>                                     | EX-10 µg b.i.d.           | 295 | 30                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.5%             | - 25             | - 3.8                               |  |
|  | EX-LAR 2.0 mg/wk          |     | 30                        | Metformin <u>+</u> SU   | - 1.9%             | - 41             | - 4.3                               |  |
| Kim <sup>113</sup>   | EX-LAR 0.8 mg/wk          | 45  | 15                        | Metformin/diet/exercise | - 1.4%             | - 43             | 0.0                                 |  |
|  | EX-LAR 2.0 mg/wk          |     | 15                        | Metformin/diet/exercise | - 1.7%             | - 40             | - 3.8                               |  |
|  | Placebo                   |     | 15                        | Metformin/diet/exercise | + 0.4%             | +18              | 0.0                                 |  |

Wk = week; b.i.d. = twice a day; t.i.d. = three-times a day; d = day; EX = exenatide; EX-LAR = Long-acting exenatide;

FPG = fasting plasma glucose levels; SU = sulfonylurea; TZD = thiazolinedione. For glucose levels, to convert mg/dL to mmol/L divide by 18

BP, which was independent of weight loss. Liraglutide administration also decreased systolic BP<sup>128,129</sup>, which occurs even before the weight loss.

Exenatide treatment has been shown to prevent early diabetic retinopathy in experimental animals<sup>169</sup>. The incretins may also be involved in the regulation of taste function, since GLP-1 signaling in taste buds modulates taste sensitivity<sup>170</sup>. The apparent ability of exendin-4 (exenatide) to arrest progression of, or even reverse nigral lesions once established, normalize dopamine imbalance, and increase the number of cells positive for markers of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra in a model of Parkinson's disease suggests that pharmacological manipulation of the GLP-1 receptor system could be of therapeutic value in Parkinson's disease<sup>171,172</sup>. Furthermore, GLP-1 has been shown to decrease endogenous amyloid-beta peptide (Abeta) levels and protect hippocampal neurons from death induced by Abeta and iron<sup>173</sup>; these observations suggest that GLP-1 and analogs may be useful in the therapy of Alzheimer's disease<sup>174,175</sup>. Since, exenatide potently decreases ghrelin levels in fasting rats, incretin-mimetics could offer a therapeutic option for syndromes characterized by substantial amounts of circulating ghrelin<sup>176</sup>. Additionally, exendin-4 improves glycemic control, ameliorates brain and pancreatic pathologies, and extends survival in a mouse model of Huntington's disease<sup>177</sup>. It is expected that other GLP-1-receptor agonists and inhibitors of DPP-4 will have some of the above mentioned pleiotropic effects of GLP-1 and agonists.

#### Dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors - incretin enhancers

Since the incretin-mimetics have to be given subcutaneously, efforts to find orally active compounds that enhance the endogenous incretin effect, have resulted in the development of inhibitors of DPP-471,76,78,79,84, the enzyme which rapidly inactivates the endogenous incretins. DPP-4, a serine protease/peptidase, involved in many important processes related to nutrition, excretion and immune function (such as maintaining lymphocyte composition and memory T cell generation), is present in many tissues of the body but mostly in the kidney<sup>178,179</sup>. Serum levels of DPP-4 have been shown to increase with prolonged hyperglycemia<sup>180</sup>, and its levels decrease with normalization of blood glucose. Increased levels of DPP-4 could contribute to the reduction in circulating active GLP-1 and to the consequent postprandial hyperglycemia in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients with poor metabolic control<sup>180</sup>. Inhibitors of DPP-4, called the gliptins, block the active site of DPP-4 and thereby prevent the inactivation of incretins, thus prolonging the duration of action of GLP-1 and GIP<sup>181</sup>. These "incretin enhancers" increase postprandial insulin secretion, effectively improve glycemic control (reduce HbA1c), suppress glucagon release and endogenous glucose production (in the liver), and improve islet cell function (increased  $\beta$ -cell sensitivity to glucose), without a significant effect on gastric motility or body weight<sup>57,80,82,182-199</sup>. The DPP-4 inhibitors are more effective in patients with significant residual  $\beta$ -cell function as compared to those with long-standing insulin deficiency. The data on the effect of gliptins on appetite and body weight are not consistent in that some investigators claim that gliptins do not have any effect on these parameters<sup>57,58,63,75,76,200,201</sup>, while others indicate that the gliptins have a modest effect on appetite (slowing gastric motility and inducing a feeling of satiety) and reduction in body weight<sup>189,202,203</sup>. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that an approximately 80% inhibition of DPP-4 activity is necessary to achieve a near-maximal effect on glucose concentration<sup>204</sup>. In humans, 80-88% inhibition is achieved with DPP-4 inhibitors given at the therapeutic doses<sup>182,192,205,206</sup>, which results in significant rise in GLP-1 and GIP levels<sup>192,197</sup>. If animal studies turn out to be applicable to man, chronic treatment with DPP-4 inhibitors may prevent the decline in  $\beta$ -cell function and increase basal GLP-1 levels<sup>207</sup>. Interestingly, the observation that atorvastatin inhibits DPP-4<sup>208</sup> suggests that the statin may offer clinical benefit in treating T<sub>2</sub>DM patients with dyslipidemia.

In general, the DPP-4 inhibitors are well tolerated and the risk of hypoglycemia is low when used as monotherapy or in combination with metformin or TZDs. In addition, these drugs have a low gastrointestinal side effect profile and drug administration does not require injection, thus enhancing patient compliance. However, the risk of nasopharyngitis, urinary tract infection and upper respiratory infection increases with the use of these drugs<sup>56,71,189</sup>. The effect of inhibition of DPP-4 could potentially have some adverse consequences, since DPP-4 has a large number of physiological (endogenous) substrates, and DPP-4 has been implicated in the control of lymphocyte and immune function, cell migration, viral entry, cancer metastasis, and inflammation<sup>71</sup>. It is possible that inhibition of neuropeptides, other closely related serine proteases such as DPP-2, DPP-8, DPP-9, fibroblast activation protein-alpha/seprase, prolyl endopeptidase, and tryptase may account for the occasional anemia, thrombocytopenia, neurogenic inflammation, allergic reactions, hypertension and splenomegaly that have been reported with certain non-selective DPP-4 inhibitors<sup>177,178,209</sup>. However, no such adverse effects have been reported in humans with short-term (12 months) use of the approved selective inhibitors at the therapeutic doses<sup>81</sup>. On the other hand, the DPP-4 inhibitors may be useful to decrease liver inflammation and steatosis, in conditions in which DPP-4 levels are high<sup>210</sup>. However, the durability and long-term safety of these drugs remains to be determined.

Sitagliptin (MK-0431, Januvia<sup>®</sup>) has been approved (2006) in the USA, and vildagliptin (LAF 237, Galvus<sup>®</sup>) in Europe (2008), as oral antidiabetic agents, to be used either as monotherapy in  $T_2DM$  patients inadequately controlled with diet and exercise, or as add-on therapy in combination with metformin, TZDs, or insulin, who have failed oral agents<sup>73,185,190, 194</sup>. If used as monotherapy, sitagliptin is re-

ported to be less effective than sulfonylurea and metformin in lowering HbA1c<sup>211</sup>. The efficacy of sitagliptin has been demonstrated in least 11 clinical trials conducted in 6781 randomized patients<sup>57,59,65,84,185,186,191,195,200,203,205,211,212-220</sup>: some data are presented in Table 6. Sitagliptin monotherapy (50-100 mg/day) usually results in a reduction in HbA1c of 0.8-0.9% and glucose levels of 18-22 mg/  $dL^{195,200,203}$ , while addition of metformin<sup>211,213,216,219,221</sup> or TZDs<sup>212,220</sup> to the antidiabetic regimen improves the efficacy; combination with metformin increases the efficacy in part by the reported inhibition of DPP-4 by metformin<sup>114</sup>. The drug (at doses of 100-200 mg/d) is well-tolerated in trials lasting up to 52 weeks, and it has a low risk of hypoglycemia<sup>57,186,200,203,215,219-222</sup>. Sitagliptin may be used as monotherapy in patients who cannot tolerate metformin or sulfonylureas and it may also be used as an alternative to metformin in renal insufficiency<sup>57</sup>, however, the dose has to be decreased in patients with moderate to severe renal dysfunction or end-stage renal disease<sup>223,224</sup>. An ethnic difference in the efficacy of the gliptins (sitagliptin and vildagliptin) was observed in that at the same doses, the reduction in HbA1c was 1.5 times higher in Japanese  $T_2DM$  patients as compared to the Caucasian patients<sup>225</sup>. Sitagliptin improves β-cell function and decreases insulin resistance<sup>157,191,211,214-216</sup>. Sitagliptin has a favorable effect on lipid profile (decrease in free fatty acids, triglycerides and increase in HDL-cholesterol)200.

Sitagliptin may cause gastrointestinal side effects, nasopharyngitis, upper respiratory infection and headache<sup>57</sup>; cases of severe idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity have also been reported<sup>63</sup>. Since, sitagliptin is highly selective for DPP-4 and shows little interaction with other proteas (**Table 6**) es or closely related enzymes, in particular DPP-8 and DPP-9, it is not associated with multiorgan toxicities exhibited by inhibitors of DPP-8/DPP-9 in animal studies<sup>209</sup>. Never-the-less, another DPP-4 inhibitor, vildagliptin, has been reported to cause skin lesions and kidney impairment in animals, but, no such adverse effects have been reported with short-term (12 months) use of sitagliptin or vildagliptin at therapeutic doses<sup>81</sup>. Some drawbacks of using sitagliptin include a lack of long-term safety and efficacy data, as well as high cost.

After oral administration, sitagliptin is rapidly absorbed  $(t_{max} = 1-4 \text{ hr})$ , the absorption is not influenced by food intake<sup>182,192</sup>. The oral bioavailability of sitagliptin is 87%; it exhibits low and reversible binding to plasma proteins (approximately 38%) and is widely distributed in tissues  $(Vd = 198 \text{ L})^{182,192}$ . The C<sub>max</sub> and AUC are dose-dependent in the 25–400 mg dose range<sup>226</sup>. Plasma levels decline in a biphasic pattern (t of the alpha phase = 2-4 hr; terminal t = 8-14 hr), independent of the dose<sup>182,192</sup>. Sitagliptin is mostly excreted (80-87%) by the kidney<sup>182,192,227</sup>; metabolism by hepatic CYP 3A4 and CYP2C8 accounts for 17% of the administered drug<sup>192,227</sup>. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of sitagliptin are not significantly altered in moderately obese subjects<sup>228</sup> or

in diabetic patients<sup>192,221</sup>. However, pharmacokinetic parameters of sitagliptin are altered in patients with renal insufficiency, with values of Cmax, AUC, and terminal  $t_{1/2}$  increasing with the degree of renal dysfunction: AUC increase 4-5-fold, and Cmax and terminal  $t_{1/2}$  increase 2-fold in patients with end-stage renal disease<sup>223,224</sup>. The pharmacokinetics of sitagliptin is not significantly affected by mild to moderate hepatic dysfunction.<sup>229</sup> Sitagliptin does not alter the pharmacokinetic parameters of glyburide<sup>196,230</sup>, metformin<sup>196,221</sup>, rosiglitazone<sup>231</sup>, simvastatin<sup>196,232</sup>, warfarin<sup>196</sup> or oral contraceptives<sup>196</sup>.

Vildagliptin is used as monotherapy or in combination with metformin, sulfonylureas or TZDs in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients with inadequate glycemic control following monotherapy; it is also used as monotherapy or in combination with a TZD in patients who cannot tolerate metformin or sulfonylureas<sup>194,220</sup>. At an oral dose of 100 mg, vildagliptin almost completely inhibits DPP-4 for up to 24 hr<sup>233</sup>. At least 19 clinical trials have been conducted in more than 7000 randomized patients<sup>56-58,65,74,80,82,84</sup>; results of some of these studies are presented in Table 6<sup>183,188,201,202,235-248</sup>. Vildagliptin dose-dependently improves glycemic control in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients: at doses of 25 to 50 mg b.i.d., the decrease in HbA1c ranges between 0.8% and 1.1%, and fasting glucose levels (by 15 mg/ dL to 30 mg/dL) after monotherapy<sup>201,202,234</sup>, with further improvement in these parameters when given in combination with metformin<sup>188,194,197,198,239,244,246</sup>, the effect on weight is minimal<sup>237,243,244,246</sup>. Vildagliptin is as effective as pioglitazone, and In T<sub>2</sub>DM patients failing TZD monotherapy, vildagliptin in combination with pioglitazone improved glycemic control<sup>240,241,247,249</sup> without additional risk of hypoglycemia<sup>240,241</sup>. Vildagliptin in combination with metformin, a sulfonylurea or a TZD (given for 24-52 wk) not only improved glycemic control in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients but also appeared to slow the progression of β-cell degeneration<sup>187,194,250</sup>. Vildagliptin has a low risk of hypoglycemia and is generally well-tolerated at doses of up to and including 200 mg a day in trials lasting up to 52 wk<sup>201,251</sup>. The drug is also available as a fixed-dose formulation with metformin (Eucreas®)<sup>252-254</sup>. Vildagliptin improves islet-cell function by increasing both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -cell responsiveness to glucose; improvement in  $\beta$ -cell function (assessed by increase in HOMA- $\beta$ ), insulin sensitivity (reduction in HOMA-insulin resistance), postprandial insulin secretion, and a reduction in postprandial glucagon secretion were observed with vildagliptin (at doses of 25-200 mg/day)75,187,193,194,199,253-264. Vildagliptin improves lipid profile<sup>237</sup>.

After oral administration, vildagliptin is rapidly absorbed giving rise to dose-dependent Cmax ( $t_{max} = 1.0-2.0$  hr) across the dose range of 25-200 mg; its oral bioavailability is 85%<sup>256,265-268</sup>. Food has no effect on the absorption of vildagliptin<sup>268</sup>. Vildagliptin exhibits low protein binding (9.3%), and it is quickly eliminated (t of  $\simeq 2-3$  hr)<sup>256,265,266</sup>; the t is dose-dependent (range 1.6-2.5 hr)<sup>267-269</sup>. There is

| Table 6. Clinical studies with Vildagliptin |                                |      |                           |                                      |                    |                             |                          |  |
|---|--------------------------------|------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Reference                                   | Dose of<br>vildagliptin (VILD) | N    | Study<br>Duration<br>(wk) | Other<br>treatment                   | Change<br>in HbA1c | Change<br>in FPG<br>(mg/dL) | Change in<br>Weight (kg) |  |
| Kikuchi 234                                 | VILD – 25-50 mg b.i.d.         | 291  | 12                        | Diet                                 | -1.0% to - 1.2%    |                             | 0                        |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 12                        | Diet                                 | +0.1%              | - 9                         | - 0.2                    |  |
| Dejager <sup>202</sup>                      | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 632  | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.8%             | - 18                        | - 1.8                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d             |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.8%             | - 14                        | - 0.3                    |  |
|   | VILD -100 mg q.d.              |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.9%             | - 14                        | - 0.8                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.3%             | - 4                         | - 1.4                    |  |
| Pi-Sunyer <sup>201</sup>                    | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 354  | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.5%             | - 9                         | - 0.4                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d             |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.7%             | - 22                        | 0                        |  |
|   | VILD -100 mg q.d.              |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 0.8%             | - 20                        | - 0.4                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | 0.0                | + 2                         | - 1.4                    |  |
| Pan <sup>235</sup>                          | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 660  | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 1.4%             | - 16                        |                          |  |
|   | Acarbose 300 mg/d              |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 1.3%             | - 27                        |                          |  |
| Schweizer <sup>236</sup>                    | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 780  | 52                        | Diet                                 | - 1.0%             | - 16                        | + 0.3                    |  |
|   | Metformin 1000 mg b.i.d.       |      | 52                        | Diet                                 | - 1.4%             | - 31                        | + 1.6                    |  |
| Rosenstock <sup>237</sup>                   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 598  | 52                        | Diet                                 | - 0.9%             |                             | 0                        |  |
|   | Rosiglitazone 8 mg/d.          |      | 52                        | Diet                                 | - 1.1%             |                             | + 4.7                    |  |
| Scherbaum <sup>238</sup>                    | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 306  | 52                        | Diet                                 | - 0.2%             | - 7                         | - 0.5                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 52                        | Diet                                 | +0.1%              | + 8                         | - 0.2                    |  |
| Ahren <sup>239</sup>                        | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 107  | 12                        | Metformin                            | - 0.6%             | - 18                        | - 0.4                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 12                        | Metformin                            | + 0.1%             | + 4                         | - 0.5                    |  |
| Rosenstock <sup>240</sup>                   | VILD -100 mg q.d.              | 607  | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 1.1%             | - 23                        | - 0.6                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              |      | 24                        | Pioglitazone 15 mg q.d. + Diet       | - 1.7%             | - 43                        | + 1.4                    |  |
|   | VILD -100 mg q.d.              |      | 24                        | Pioglitazone 30 mg q.d. + Diet       | - 1.9%             | - 50                        | + 2.1                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Pioglitazone 30 mg q.d. + Diet       | - 1.4%             | - 34                        | + 1.5                    |  |
| Garber <sup>241</sup>                       | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 463  | 24                        | Pioglitazone 45 mg/d.                | - 0.8%             | - 14                        | + 0.1                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            |      | 24                        | Pioglitazone 45 mg/d.                | - 1.0%             | - 18                        | + 1.3                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Pioglitazone 45 mg/d                 | - 0.3%             | - 9                         | + 1.4                    |  |
| Garber <sup>242</sup>                       | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 515  | 24                        | Glimepiride                          | - 0.6%             | - 5                         | - 0.1                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            |      | 24                        | Glimepiride                          | - 0.6%             | - 7                         | + 1.3                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Glimepiride                          | + 0.1%             | + 4                         | - 0.4                    |  |
| Fonseca <sup>243</sup>                      | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 296  | 24                        | Insulin 82U/d                        | - 0.5%             | - 14                        | + 1.3                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Insulin 82U/d                        | - 0.2%             | - 4                         | + 0.3                    |  |
| Bosi <sup>188</sup>                         | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | 544  | 24                        | Metformin ≥1500 mg/d                 | - 0.7%             | - 14                        | - 0.4                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            |      | 24                        | Metformin ≥1500 mg/d                 | - 1.1%             | - 31                        | + 0.2                    |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Metformin ≥1500 mg/d                 | +0.2%              | + 13                        | - 1.0                    |  |
| Bosi <sup>244</sup>                         | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 1179 | 24                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d                  | - 1.8%             | - 47                        | 0                        |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            |      | 24                        | Metformin 1000 mg/d                  | - 1.6%             |                             | 0                        |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            |      | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 1.1%             | - 27                        | 0                        |  |
|   | Placebo                        |      | 24                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d                  | - 1.4%             | - 35                        | 0                        |  |
| Rosenstock <sup>245</sup>                   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 786  | 24                        | Diet                                 | - 1.1%             | - 23                        | - 0.3                    |  |
|   | VILD - 50 mg q.d.              | -    | 24                        | Rosiglitazone 8 mg q.d. + Diet       | - 1.3%             | - 41                        | + 1.6                    |  |
| Bolli <sup>246</sup>                        | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 576  | 24                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d                  | - 0.9%             | - 38                        | + 0.2                    |  |
|   | Pioglitazone 30 mg q.d.        |      | 24                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d                  | - 1.0%             | - 25                        | + 1.9                    |  |
| Goke <sup>247</sup>                         | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 305  | 52                        | Diet <u>+</u> pioglitazone           | - 1.0%             | -                           | - 0.5                    |  |
| -   | Metformin 2000 mg/d            |      | 52                        | Diet <u>+</u> pioglitazone           | - 1.5%             |                             | + 2.5                    |  |
| Ferrannini <sup>248</sup>                   | VILD - 50 mg b.i.d.            | 2789 | 52                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d                  | - 0.44%            | - 18                        | - 0.23                   |  |
|   | Glimepiride 4.5 mg/d           |      | 52                        | Metformin 2000 mg/d                  | - 0.53%            | - 21                        |                          |  |
|   |                                |      |                           | dav: d = dav: EPG = fasting plasma d |                    |                             |                          |  |

N = number of patients in the study; b.i.d. = twice a day; q.d. = once a day; d = day; FPG = fasting plasma glucose levels; SU = sulfonylurea; For glucose levels, to convert mg/dL to mmol/L divide by 18

no accumulation after repeated dosing. The metabolism of vildagliptin is mainly by hydrolysis to inactive metabolites<sup>265</sup>. Some 80% to 85% of an oral dose is eliminated in urine, including 22% to 29% of unchanged drug in Chinese subjects, which is similar to that observed in non-Chinese subjects<sup>256,265-269</sup>. There is no significant effect of gender or obesity on the pharmacokinetics of vildagliptin, however, total exposure (AUC) of the drug increases, but clinically insignificantly in the elderly<sup>267</sup>. Hepatic dysfunction does not have any effect on the pharmacokinetics of vildagliptin, therefore, dosage adjustment is not necessary<sup>269</sup>. Vildagliptin does not have a significant effect on the pharmacokinetics of digoxin<sup>270</sup>, amlodipine<sup>271</sup> valsartan<sup>271</sup>, ramipril<sup>271</sup>, simvastatin<sup>272</sup> and warfarin<sup>273</sup>.

Several other DPP-4 inhibitors, including alogliptin (SYR-322)<sup>84,274,275,276,277</sup> and saxagliptin (BMS-477118; Onglyza ®)84,275,278,279, etc., are in various stages of development. Both vildagliptin and saxagliptin are apparently close to being approved by the USA-FDA. Monotherapy with alogliptin (12.5-25 mg per day) improved glycemic control (decrease in HbA1c by 0.6%) in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients without raising the risk of hypoglycemia<sup>274,276,280</sup>. It appears to be effective and safe in treating T<sub>2</sub>DM, when added to metformin in patients not sufficiently controlled on metformin monotherapy.<sup>281</sup> In addition to metformin, alogliptin can also be combined with TZDs, sulfonylureas and insulin<sup>274,277</sup>. The drug is well tolerated and has an excellent safety profile, except that hypoglycemia is significant at 800 mg dose<sup>282</sup>; it appears to be weight neutral. Combination of alogliptin with pioglitazone (in ob/ob mice) increased GLP-1 and insulin levels and reduced glucagon concentration, and exhibited a complementary effect in terms of improved glycemic control and lipid profile<sup>283</sup>. Never-the-less, studies in diabetic patients are needed to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of alogliptin<sup>284</sup>. After single oral doses (25-800 mg), alogliptin is rapidly absorbed ( $t_{max} = 1-2$  hr) and is slowly eliminated (t = 12 - 21 hr). A small fraction of the dose is metabolized (8%), and 60 - 71% of the dose of the drug is eliminated by the renal route<sup>282,285</sup>. Results of clinical studies with saxagliptin appear to be encouraging, in that a dose-dependent inhibition of DPP-4 is achieved resulting in reduction in HbA1c (by 0.7-0.9%), fasting serum glucose, postprandial glucose levels, with low incidence of adverse effects, and no significant effect on body weight<sup>84,275,278, 279,286</sup>.

## Conclusions

Traditional first-line therapy (sulfonylureas, metformin, TZDs, etc.) might not be appropriate for all T<sub>2</sub>DM patients. In addition, these drugs have significant adverse effects, such as hypoglycemia and weight gain. The recently developed diabetes therapies based upon GLP-1 receptor agonists (e.g., exenatide, liraglutide) and DPP-4 inhibitors (e.g., sitagliptin, vildagliptin) are useful in the management of T<sub>2</sub>DM because they provide effective reductions in fasting plasma glucose and postprandial glucose levels. In addition, the GLP-1 receptor agonists promote weight loss, whereas the DPP-4 inhibitors are weight neutral, and there is a low risk of symptomatic hypoglycemia. These drugs are effective as monotherapy in drug-naive patients as well as in those in whom other treatments (for example with metformin, sulfonylureas, thiazolinediones, etc.) have been inadequate to achieve glycemic control. When combined with other glucoselowering agents, the GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors the efficacy of treatment is increased. These drugs appear to have beneficial effects on β-cell dysfunction, although, the ability of GLP-1 receptor agonists to reduce and/or reverse the progressive  $\beta$ -cell loss remains unclear. Also, it is not known that long-term therapy based upon incretin-mimetics/incretin-enhancers will have sustained benefits, especially in later-stages of the disease; the long-term safety has also not been established. Neverthe-less, the current consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes about the medical management of hyperglycemia in T<sub>2</sub>DM patients has included GLP-1 receptor agonists as an option when weight loss or risk of hypoglycemia are major considerations. In general, antidiabetic agents should be individualized on the basis of their efficacy as hypoglycemic agents and their extraglycemic effects (on lipids, BP and weight), tolerability and safety, complication of long-term use, ease of drug administration, and costs.

### References

- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, et al. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1047-1053.
- Horton. Can newer therapies delay the progression of type 2 diabetes mellitus? Endocrine Pract 2008;14:625-638.
- International Diabetes Federation. Diabetes Atlas, Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation, 2006.
- DeFronzo RA, Bonadonna RC, Ferrannini E. Pathogenesis of NIDDM. A balanced overview. Diabetes Care 1992;15:318-368.
- 5. Del Prato S, Marchetti P. Beta- and alpha-cell dysfunction in type 2 diabetes. Hormone Metab Res 2004;36:775–781.
- U K Prospective Diabetes Study Group. UK prospective diabetes study 16. Overview of 6 years' therapy of type II diabetes: a progressive disease. Diabetes 1995 44 1249–1258.
- Turner RC, Cull CA, Frighi V, Holman RR. Glycemic control with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: progressive requirement for multiple therapies (UKPDS 49). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. JAMA 1999;281:2005-2012.
- Butler AE, Janson J, Bonner-Weir S, Ritzel R, Rizza RA, Butler PC. Beta-cell deficit and increased beta-cell apoptosis in humans with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2003; 52: 102–110.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group: Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). Lancet 1998; 352: 837–853.
- 10. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Effect of intensive blood-glucose

<sup>42</sup> Iabetes

control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). Lancet 1998; 352: 854–865.

- 11. United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. Br Med J 1998;317:703-713.
- Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Neil HA, Matthews DR. Long-term followup after tight control of blood pressure in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1565-1576.13. Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, et al. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358:2545-2559.
- Montori VM, Fernández-Balsells M. Glycemic Control in Type 2 Diabetes: Time for an Evidence-Based About-Face? Ann Intern Med Apr 20, 2009:150: javascript:AL\_get(this, 'jour', 'Ann Intern Med.');[Epub ahead of print].
- The ADVANCE Collaborative Group: Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2560-2572.
- Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T et al. Glucose control and vascular complications in Veterans with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2009;360:129-139.
- Kahn SE, Haffner SM, Heise MA, et al. Glycemic durability of rosiglitazone, metformin, or glyburide monotherapy. N Engl J Med 2006; 355: 2427–2443.
- Hermansen K, Mortensen LS. Body weight changes associated with antihyperglycaemic agents in type 2 diabetes mellitus. [Review]. Drug Saf 2007;30:1127-1142.
- Creutzfeldt W. The [pre-] history of the incretin concept. Regul Pept 2005;128:87-91.
- 20. Drucker DJ. The biology of incretin hormones. Cell Metab 2006;3:153-156
- Baggio LL, Drucker DJ. Biology of incretins: GLP-1 and GIP. Gastroenterology 2007; 132: 2131–2157.
- 22. Kim W, Egan JM. The role of incretins in glucose homeostasis and diabetes treatment [Review]. Pharmacol Rev 2008; 60:470-512.
- Meier JJ, Nauck MA. Clinical endocrinology and metabolism. Glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptides/gastric inhibitory polypeptide. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;18:587-606.
- Mentlein R, Gallwitz B, Schmidt WE. Dipeptidyl-peptidase IV hydrolyses gastric inhibitory polypeptide, glucagon-like peptide-1(7-36) amide, peptide histidine methionine and is responsible for their degradation in human serum. Eur J Biochem 1993;214:829-835.
- 25. Visbøll T, Agersø H, Lauritsen T, et al. The elimination rates of intact GIP as well as its primary metabolite, GIP 3-42, are similar in type 2 diabetic patients and healthy subjects. Regul Pept 2006;137:168-172.
- Nauck MA. Unraveling the Science of Incretin Biology. Am J Med 2009;122(Suppl.6A) S3–S10.
- Drucker DJ. Glucagon-like peptides: regulators of cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. [Review]. Mol Endocrinol 2003;17:161-171.
- Elahi D, Egan JM, Shannon RP, et al. GLP-1 (9-36) amide, cleavage product of GLP-1 (7-36) amide, is a glucoregulatory peptide. Obesity 2008;16:1501-1509.
- Parker JC, Lavery KS, Irwin N, et al. Effects of subchronic exposure to naturally occurring N-terminally truncated metabolites of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), GIP (3-42), GLP-1 (9-39) amide, on insulin secretion and glucose homeostasis in ob/ob mice. J Endocrinol 2006;191:93-100.
- Brubaker PL, Drucker DJ. Structure-function of the glucagon receptor family of G protein-coupled receptors: the glucagon, GIP, GPL-1, and GLP-2 receptors. Receptors Channels 2002;8:179-188.
- Estall JL, Drucker DJ. Glucagon and glucagon-like peptide receptors as drug targets. [Review]. Curr Pharm Des 2006;12:1731-1750.
- Green BD, Hand KV, Dougan JE, McDonnell BM, Cassidy RS, Grieve DJ. GLP-1 and related peptides cause concentration-dependent relaxation of rat aorta through a pathway involving KATP and cAMP. Arch Biochem Biophy 2008;478:136-142.
- Sonoda N, Imamura T, Yoshizaki T, Babendure JL, Lu JC, Olefsky JM. Beta-arrestin-1 mediates glucagon-like peptide-1 signaling to insulin secretion in cultured pancreatic beta cells. Proc Nat Acad Sci (USA) 2008;105:6614-6619.
- Imeryüz N, Yegen BC, Bozkurt A, Coskun T, Villanueva-Penacarrillo ML, Ulusoy NB. Glucagon-like peptide-1 inhibits gastric emptying via vagal afferent-mediated central mechanisms. Am J Physiol 1997;273:G920-927.

- Brunetti L, Orlando G, Recinella L, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (7-36) amide (GLP-1) and exendin-4 stimulate serotonin release in rat hypothalamus. Peptides 2008;29:1377-1381.
- 36. Wang GJ, Tomasi D, Backus W, et al. Gastric distension activates satiety circuitry in the human brain. Neuroimage 2008;39:1824-1831.
- Gutzwiller JP, Drewe J, Goke B, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 promotes satiety and reduces food intake in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 1999;276:R1541-R1544.
- Doyle ME, Egan JM. Mechanisms of action of glucagon-like peptide 1 in the pancreas. Pharmacol Ther 2007;113:546–593.
- Holst JJ, Vilsbøll T, Deacon CF. The incretin system and its role in type 2 diabetes mellitus. [Review]. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2009;297:127-136.
- Bloomgarden Z, Drexler A. What role will 'gliptins' play in glycemic control? Cleveland Clinic J Med 2008;75:305 - 310.
- Ionut V, Zheng D, Stefanovski D, Bergman RN. Exenatide can reduce glucose independent of islet hormones or gastric emptying. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2008;295:E269-E277.
- Ayala JE, Bracy DP, James FD, Julien BM, Wasserman DH, Drucker DJ. The glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor regulates endogenous glucose production and muscle glucose uptake independent of its incretin action. Endocrinology 2009;150:1155-1164.
- Elahi D, MvAloon-Dyke M, Fukagawa NK, et al. The insulinotropic actions of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 (7-37) in normal and diabetic subjects. Regul Pept 1994;51:63-74.
- Nauck MA, Heimesaat MM, Orskov C, Holst JJ, Ebert R, Creutzfeldt W. Preserved incretin activity of glucagon-like peptide 1 [7-36 amide] but not of synthetic human gastric inhibitory polypeptide in patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Invest 1993;91:301-307.
- 45. Toft-Nielsen MB, Damholt MB, Madsbad S, et al. Determinants of the impaired secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 in type 2 diabetic patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86:3717-3723.
- 46. Vilsbøll T, Krarup T, Deacon CF, Madsbad S, Holst JJ. Reduced postprandial concentrations of intact biologically active glucagon-like peptide 1 in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes 2001;50:609–613.
- 47. Vollmer K, Gardiwal H, Menge BA, et al. Hyperglycemia acutely lowers the postprandial excursions of glucagon-like peptide-1 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:1379-1385.
- Holst JJ, Orskov C. The incretin approach for diabetes treatment: modulation of islet hormone release by GLP-1 agonism. Diabetes 2004;53(Suppl.3):S197–S204.
- 49. Chia CW, Egan JM. Incretin-based therapies in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:3703-3716.
- 50. Hellwig B. The incretin system as basis of new antidiabetic drugs. Deutsche Apotheker Zeitung 2009;149:64-67.
- 51. Yu B-S, Wang A-R. Glucagon-like peptide 1 based therapy for type 2 diabetes. [Review]. World J Pediatr 2008;4:8-13.
- 52. Flatt PR, Bailey CJ, Green BD. Recent advances in antidiabetic drug therapies targeting the enteroinsular axis. [Review] Curr Drug Metab 2009;10:125-137.
- Holst JJ. Pharmacology of GLP-1-based therapies. Brit J Diabetes 2008;8:S10-S18.
- Green BD, Flatt PR. Incretin hormone mimetics and analogues in diabetic therapeutics. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007;21:497-516.
- 55. van Gaal LF, Gutkin SW, Nauck MA. Exploiting the antidiabetic properties of incretins to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus: glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists or insulin for patients with inadequate glycemic control? [Review]. Eur J Endocrinol 2008:158:773-784.
- Amori RE, Lau J, Pittas AG. Efficacy and safety of incretin therapy in type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2007; 298: 194–206.
- 57. Mikhail N. Incretin mimetics and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors in clinical trials for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2008;17:845-853.
- Madsbad S, Krarup T, Deacon CF, Holst JJ. Glucogon-like peptide receptor agonists and dipeptidyly peptidase-4 inhibitors in the treatment of diabetes: a review of clinical trials. [Review]. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metabolic Care 2008;11:491-499.
- St. Onge EL, Miller SA, Taylor JR. Novel approaches to the treatment of type 2 diabetes. J Pharm Pract 2009;22:320-332.
- 60. Krentz AJ, Patel MB, Bailey CJ. New drugs for type 2 diabetes mellitus: what

is their place in therapy?. [Review]. Drugs 2008;68:2131-2162.

- Deacon CF, Knudsen LB, Madsen K, Wiberg FC, Jacobsen O, Holst JJ. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV resistant analogues of glucagon-like peptide-1 which have extended metabolic stability and improved biological activity. Diabetologia 1998;41:271–278.
- 62. Bolen S, Feldman L, Vassy J, et al. Systematic Review: Comparative effectiveness and safety of oral medications for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Ann Intern Med 2007;147: 386-399.
- 63. Nathan DM. Finding new treatments for diabetes: how many, how fast, how good? N Engl J Med 2007;356:437–440.
- 64. Waring W.S. Antidiabetic drugs. Medicine 2007;35:590-591.
- 65. Bosi E, Lucotti P, Setola E, Monti L, Piatti PM. Incretin-based therapies in type 2 diabetes: A review of clinical results. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008;82(Suppl. 2):S102-S107.
- Inzucchi SE, McGuire DK. New drugs for the treatment of diabetes: Part II: incretin-based therapy and beyond. Circulation 2008;117: 574-584.
- Srinivasan BT, Jarvis J, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Recent advances in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a review. Postgrad Med J 2008;84:524-531.
- Irwin N, Moodley M, Flatt PR. Review: Maximizing the therapeutic potential of glucagon-like peptide-1 in type 2 diabetes. Br J Diabetes 2009;9:44-52.
- Gilbert MP, Pratley RE. Efficacy and safety of incretin-based therapies in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Med 2009;122(Suppl.6A): S11–S24.
- Kendall DM, Cuddihy RM, Bergenstal RM. Clinical application of incretinbased therapy: therapeutic potential, patient selection and clinical use. Am J Med 2009;122(Suppl.6A):S37–S50.
- 71. Drucker DJ. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition and the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Preclinical biology and mechanisms of action [Review]. Diabetes care 2007;30:1335-1343.
- Elrishi MA, Khunti K, Jarvis J, Davies MJ. The dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors: A new class of oral therapy for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Practical Diabet Int 2007;24:474-482.
- 73. Pratley RE, Salsali A. Inhibition of DPP-4: A new therapeutic approach for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. [Review]. Curr Med Res Opin 2007;23: 919-931.
- 74. Ahren B. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: clinical data and clinical implications. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1344–1350.
- 75. Ahren B. DPP-4 inhibitors. Insulin 2009;4:15-31.
- Green BD, Flatt PR, Bailey CJ. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP IV) inhibitors: a newly emerging drug class for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Vasc Dis Res 2006;3:159–165.
- 77. Bloomgarden Z, Drexler A. What role will 'gliptins' play in glycemic control? Cleveland Clinic J Med 2008;75:305 - 310.
- Flatt PR, Bailey CJ, Green BD. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP IV) and related molecules in type 2 diabetes. [Review]. Front Biosci 2008;13:3648-3660.
- Wani JH, John-Kalarickal J, Fonseca VA. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 as a new target of action for type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. Cardiol Clin 2008; 26:639-648.
- Bohannon N. Overview of the gliptin class (dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors) in clinical practice. [Review]. Postgrad Med 2009;12140-145.
- 81. Moore KB, Saudek CD. Therapeutic potential of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors in patients with diabetes mellitus. Am J Ther 2008;15:484-491.
- White JR. Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors: Pharmacological profile and clinical use. Clin Diabetes 2008;26:53-57.
- Ahren B. Emerging dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for the treatment of diabetes. [Review]. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs 2008;13:593-607.
- Miller SA, St. Onge EL, Taylor JR. DPP-IV inhibitors: A review of sitagliptin, vildagliptin, alogliptin, and saxagliptin. Formulary 2008;43:122-134.
- Eng J, Kleinman WA, Singh L, Singh G, Raufman JP. Isolation and characterization of exendin-4, an exendin-3 analogue, from Heloderma suspectum venom. Further evidence for an exendin receptor on dispersed acini from guinea pig pancreas. J Biol Chem 1992;267:7402-7405.
- Goke R, Fehmann HC, Linn T, et al. Exendin-4 is a high potency agonist and truncated exendin-(9-39)-amide an antagonist at the glucagon-like peptide 1-(7-36)-amide receptor of insulin-secreting beta-cells. J Biol Chem 1993;268:19650-19655.

- López de Maturana R, Willshaw A, Kuntzsch A, Rudolph R, Donnelly, D. (2003). The isolated N-terminal domain of the glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor binds exendin peptides with much higher affinity than GLP-1. J Biol Chem 2003;278:10195-10200.
- Fineman MS, Bicsak TA, Shen LZ, et al. Effect on glycemic control of exenatide (synthetic exendin-4) additive to existing metformin and/or sulfonylurea treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2003; 26: 2370–2377.
- Dungan K, Buse JB. Glucagon-like peptide 1-based therapies for type 2 diabetes: a focus on exenatide. Clin Diabetes 2005;23:56-62.
- 90. Bray GM. Exenatide. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2006;63:411 418.
- 91. Nielsen LL, Young AA, Parkes DG. Pharmacology of exenatide (synthetic exendin-4): a potential therapeutic for improved glycemic control of type 2 diabetes. Regul Pept 2004;117:77-88.
- 92. Chen J, Yu L, Wang L, Fang X, Li L, Li W. Stability of synthetic exendin-4 in human plasma in vitro. Protein Pept Lett 2007;14:19-25.
- 93. Ritzel U, Leonhardt U, Ottleben M, et al. A synthetic glucagon-like peptide-1 analog with improved plasma stability. J Endocrinol 1998;159:93-102.
- Monami M, Marchionni N, Mannucci E. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Eur J Endocrinol 2009;160:909-917.
- Moretto TJ, Milton DR, Ridge TD, et al. Efficacy and tolerability and efficacy of exenatide monotherapy over 24 weeks in antidiabetic drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. Clin Ther 2008;30:1448-1460.
- Nelson P, Poon T, Guan X, Schnabel C, Wintle M, Fineman M. The incretin mimetic exenatide as a monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2007;9:317-326.
- Ratner RE, Maggs D, Nielsen LL et al. Long-term effects of exenatide therapy over 82 weeks on glycaemic control and weight in over-weight metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 2006;8:419-428.
- Poon T, Nelson P, Shen L, et al. Exenatide improves glycemic control and reduces body weight in subjects with type 2 diabetes: a dose-ranging study. Diabetes Technol Ther 2005;7:467-477.
- Barnett AH, Burger J, Johns D, et al. Tolerability and efficacy of exenatide and titrated insulin glargine in adult patients with type 2 diabetes previously uncontrolled with metformin or a sulfonylurea: a multinational, randomized, open-label, two-period, crossover noninriority trial. Ann Intern Med 2007;143:559-569.
- 100. Klonoff DC, Buse JB, Nielsen LL, et al. Exenatide effects on diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular risk factors and hepatic biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes treated for at least 3 years. Curr Med Res Opin 2008;24:275-286.
- 101. DeFronzo RA, Ratner RE, Han J, Kim DD, Fineman MS, Baron AD. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in metformin-treated patients with type 2-diabetes. Diabetes care 2005;28:1092-1100.
- 102. Buse JB, Henry RR, Han J, Kim DD, Fineman MS, Baron AD. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in sulfonylureatreated patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2628-2635.
- 103. Kendall DM, Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, Zhuang D, Kim DD, Fineman MS, et al. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin and a sulfonylurea. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:1083-1091.
- 104. Zinman B, Hoogwerf BJ, Durán García S, et al. The effect of adding exenatide to a thiazolidinedione in suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2007;146:477–485.
- 105. Heine RJ, Van Gaal LF, Johns D, Mihm MJ, Widel MH, Brodows RG; GWAA Study Group. Exenatide versus insulin glargine in patients with suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2005;143:559-569.
- 106. Nauck MA, Duran S, Kim D, et al. A comparison of twice-daily exenatide and biphasic insulin aspart in patients with type 2 diabetes who were suboptimally controlled with sulfonylurea and metformin: a non-inferiority study. Diabetologia. 2007;50:259-267.
- 107. Blonde L, Klein EJ,Han J, et al. Interim analysis of the effects of exenatide treatment on A1C, weight and cardiovascular risk factors over 82 weeks in 314 overweight patients with type 2 diabetes, Diabetes Obes Metab 2006;8:436–447.
- 108. Buse JB, Klonoff DC, Nielsen LL, et al. Metabolic effects of two years of

exenatide treatment on diabetes, obesity, and hepatic biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes: an interim analysis of data from the open-label, uncontrolled extension of three double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. Clin Ther 2007;29:139-153.

- 109. Brodows R, Milton D, Ridge TD, et al. Exenatide monotherapy improves glycemic control and is well tolerated over 24 weeks in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes. [Abstract]. Diabetes 2008;57(Suppl.1):A145.
- 110. Gao Y, Yoon KH, Chuang LM, et al. Efficacy and safety of exenatide in patients of Asian descent with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin or metformin and a sulphonylurea. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2009;83:69-76.
- 111. Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al. Liraglutide once-a-day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26 week, randomized, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). Lancet 2009; published online June 8, 2009. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60659-0.
- 112. Drucker DJ, Buse JB, Taylor K, et al. DURATION-1 Study Group. Exenatide once weekly versus twice daily for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority study. Lancet 2008;372:1240-1250.
- 113. Kim D, MacConell L, Zhuang D, et al. Effects of once-weekly dosing of a longacting release formulation of exenatide on glucose control and body weight in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1487-1493.
- 114. Green BD, Irwin N, Duffy NA, Gault VA, O'harte FP, Flatt PR. Inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV activity by metformin enhances the antidiabetic effects of glucagon-like peptide-1. Eur J Pharmacol 2006;547:192-199.
- 115. Linnebjerg H, Kothare PA, Park S, et al. Effect of renal impairment on the pharmacokinetics of exenatide. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2007; 64:317-327.
- 116. FDA-1, 2008: Federal Drug Administration Information for Healthcare Professionals, Exenatide (marketed as Byetta) available at: http://www.fda.gov/cder/drug/InfoSheets/HCP/exenatide2008HCP.htm, retrieved July 2009.
- Weise WJ, Sivanandy MS, Block CA, Comi RJ. Exenatide-associated ischemic renal failure. [Letter]. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:e22-e23.
- 118. Kolterman OG, Kim DD, Shen L, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of exenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Health-System Pharm 2005;62:173-181.
- 119. Kothare PA, Linnebjerg H, Isaka Y, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, tolerability, and safety of exenatide in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Pharmacol 2008;48:1389-1399.
- 120. Zhao X, Cui YM, Zhou Y, et al. Exenatide pharmacokinetics in healthy Chinese subjects. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;46:459–465.
- 121. Soon D, Kothare PA, Linnebjerg H, et al. Effect of exenatide on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin in healthy Asian men. J Clin Pharmacol 2006;46:1179–1187.
- Kothare PA, Soon DK, Linnebjerg H, et al. Effect of exenatide on the steadystate pharmacokinetics of digoxin. J Clin Pharmacol 2005;45:1032–1037.
- 123. Kothare PA, Linnebjerg H, Atkins M, Mace K, Mitchell M. Effect of exenatide on lisinopril pharmacodynamics in patients treated for hypertension. [Abstract]. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2005;77: PI-24.
- Kothare PA, Linnebjerg H, Skrivanek Z, et al. Exenatide effects on statin pharmacokinetics and lipid response. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007;45:114-120.
- 125. Malone J, Trautmann M, Wilhelm K, Taylor K, Kendall DM. Exenatide once weekly for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. [Review]. Expert Opin Invest Drugs 2009;18:359-367.
- 126. Scheen AJ. Exenatide once weekly in type 2 diabetes. Lancet 2008;372:1197-1198.
- Gallwitz B. Liraglutide. GLP-1 receptor agonist treatment of type 2 diabetes and obesity. Drugs Future 2008;33:13-20.
- Russell-Jones D. Molecular, pharmacological and clinical aspects of liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analogue. [Review]. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2009;297:137-140.
- 129. Vilsbøll T, Zdravkovic M, Le-Thi T, et al. Liraglutide, a long-acting human glucagon-like peptide 1 analog, given as monotherapy significantly improves glycemic control and lowers body weight without risk of hypoglycemia in patients with type-2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1608-1610.
- 130. Feinglos MN, Saad MF, Pi-Sunyer FX, et al. Effects of liraglutide (NN2211), a long-acting GLP-1 analogue, on glycaemic control and body weight in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2005; 22:1016–1023.
- 131. Seino Y, Rasmussen MF, Zdravkovic M, Kaku K. Dose-dependent improve-

ment in glycemia with once-daily liraglutide without hypoglycemia or weight gain: A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008;81:161-168.

- 132. Nauck MA, Hompesch M, Filipczak R, Le TD, Zdravkovic M, Gumprecht J, NN2211-1499 Study group. Five weeks of treatment with the GLP-1 analogue liraglutide improves glycaemic control and lowers body weight in subjects with type-2 diabetes. Exp Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;114:417-423.
- 133. Madsbad S, Schmitz O, Ranstam J, et al. Improved glycemic control with no weight increase in patients with type 2 diabetes after once-daily treatment with the long-acting glucagon-like peptide 1 analog liraglutide (NN2211): a 12-week, double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Diabetes Care 2004; 27:1335–1342.
- 134. Harder H, Nielsen L, Tu DT, Astrup A. The effect of liraglutide, a long-acting glucagon-like peptide 1 derivative, on glycemic control, body composition, and 24-h energy expenditure in patients with type 2 diabetes.Diabetes Care 2004; 27:1915–1921.
- 135. Garber A, Henry R, Ratner R, et al. for the LEAD-3 (Mono) Study Group, 2008. Liraglutide versus glimepiride monotherapy for type 2 diabetes (LEAD-3 Mono): a randomised, 52-week, phase III, double-blind, paralleltreatment trial. Lancet 2009;373:473-481.
- 136. Nauck MA, Frid A, Hermansen K, et al. Efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide, glimepiride, and placebo, all in combination with metformin, in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:84-90.
- 137. Marre M, Shaw J, Brandle M, et al. Liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analog, added to a sulfonylurea over 26 weeks produces greater improvements in glycaemic and weight control compared with rosiglitazone or placebo in subjects with type 2 diabetes (LEAD-1 SU). Diabetes 2009;26:268-278.
- 138. Zinman B, Gerich J, Buse JB, et al. Efficacy and safety of the human GLP-1 analog liraglutide in combination with metformin and TZD in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (LEAD-4 Met+TZD). Diabetes Care 2009 Mar 16. [Epub ahead of print] DOI:10.2337/dc08-2140.
- 139. Russell-Jones D, Vaag A, Schmitz O, et al. Significantly better glycemic control and weight reduction with liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analog, compared with insulin glargine: all as add-on to metformin and a sulfonylurea in type 2 diabetes. [Abstract]. Diabetes 2008;57(Suppl.1):A159.
- 140. Vilsbøll T. Liraglutide: a new treatment for type 2 diabetes. [Review]. Drugs Today (Barc) 2009;45:101-113.
- 141. McGill JB. Insights from the Liraglutide Clinical Development Program--the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes (LEAD) studies. [Review]. Postgrad Med 2009;121:16-25.
- 142. Jacobsen LV, Hindsberger C, Robsen R, Zdravkovic M. Pharmacokinetics of the long-acting human GLP-1 analogue liraglutide in subjects with renal impairment. [Abstract]. Diabetes 2007;56(Suppl.1):A137.
- 143. Seino Y. Relevance of incretins in the treatment of Asian patients with Type 2 diabetes [Abstract]. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008;79 (Suppl.1): S4.
- 144. Food and Drug Administration. http://fda.gov./ohrms/dockets/ac/09/ briefing/2009-4422b2-01-NovoNordisk.pdf; accessed July 2009)
- 145. Food and Drug Administration. http://fda.gov./ohrms/dockets/ac/09/ briefing/2009-4422b2-02-NovoNordisk.pdf; accessed July 2009)
- 146. Damholt B, Golor G, Wierich W, Pedersen P, Ekblom M, Zdravkovic M. An open-label, parallel group study investigating the effects of age and gender on the pharmacokinetics of the once-daily glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue liraglutide. J Clin Pharmacol 2006;46:635-641.
- 147. Matthews JE, Stewart MW, De Boever EH, et al. Pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of albiglutide, a long-acting glucagonlike peptide-1 mimetic, in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:4810-4817.
- 148. Bush MA, Matthews JE, De Boever EH., et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of albiglutide, a long-acting glucagon-like peptide-1 mimetic, in healthy subjects. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:498-505.
- 149. Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB., et al. Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: A consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy. A consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for Study of Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2006;29:1963-1972.
- 150. Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, et al. American Diabetes Association; European Association for Study of Diabetes. Medical management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy. Diabetes Care 2009;32:193–203. 2009a
- 151. Avogaro A. Glucagon-like peptide-1: pleiotropic effects on the cardiovascu-

lar system. [Review]. [Italian]. G Ital Cardiol 2008;9:753-758.

- 152. Abu-Hamdah R, Rabiee A, Meneilly GS, Shannon RP, Anderson DK, Elahi D. Clinical review: The extrapancreatic effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 and related peptides. [Review]. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:1843-1852.
- Mudaliar S, Henry RH. Incretin therapies: effects beyond glycemic control. Am J Med 2009;122(Suppl.6A) S25–S36.
- 154. Zander M, Madsbad S, Madsen JL, Holst JJ. Effect of 6-week course of glucagon-like peptide 1 on glycaemic control, insulin sensitivity, and beta-cell function in type 2 diabetes: a parallel-group study. Lancet. 2002;359:824-830.
- 155. Cabou C, Campistron G, Marsollier N et al. Brain glucagon-like peptide-1 regulates arterial blood flow, heart rate, and insulin sensitivity. Diabetes 2008;57:2577-2587.
- 156. Vilsbøll T, Brock B, Perrild H, et al. Liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1analogue, improves pancreatic B-cell function and arginine-stimulated insulin secretion during hyperglycaemia in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 2008; 25:152–156.
- 157. Xu G, Stoffers DA, Habener JF, Bonner-Weir S. Exendin-4 stimulates both beta-cell replication and neogenesis, resulting in increased beta-cell mass and improved glucose tolerance in diabetic rats. Diabetes 1999;48:2270-2276.
- 158. Brubaker PL, Drucker DJ Minireview: glucagon-like peptides regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis in the pancreas, gut, and central nervous system. [Review]. Endocrinology 2004;145: 2653-2659.
- Mafong DD, Henry RR. The role of incretins in cardiovascular control. Curr Hypertens Rept 2009;11:18-22.
- Bhushan R, Elkind-Hirsch KE, Bhushan M, Butler WJ, Duncan K, Marrioneaux O. Exenatide use in the management of metabolic syndrome: a retrospective database study.Endocr Pract 2008;14:993-999.
- 161. Ding X, Saxena NK, Lin S, Gupta NA, Anania FA. Exendin-4, a glucagon-like protein-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist, reverses hepatic steatosis in ob/ob mice. Hepatology 2006;43:173-181.
- 162. Tushuizen ME, Bunck MC, Pouwels PJ, van Waesberghe JH, Diamant M, Heine RJ. Incretin mimetics as a novel therapeutic option for hepatic steatosis. Liver Int 2006;26:1015-1017.
- 163. Bose AK, Mocanu MM, Carr RD, Brand CL, Yellon DM. Glucagon-like peptide 1 can directly protect the heart against ischemia/reperfusion injury. Diabetes 2005;54:146-151.
- 164. Sonne DP, Engstrom T, Treiman M. Protective effects of GLP-1 analogues exendin-4 and GLP-1(9-36) amide against ischemia-reperfusion injury in rat heart. Regul Pept 2008;146:243-249.
- 165. Timmers L, Henriques JP, de Kleijn DP, et al. Exenatide reduces infarct size and improves cardiac function in a porcine model of ischemia and reperfusion injury. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:501-510.
- 166. Fields AV, Patterson B, Karnik AA, Shannon RP. Glucagon-like peptide-1 and myocardial protection: More than glycemic control. Clin Cardiol 2009;32:236-243.
- 167. Sokos GG, Nikolaidis LA, Mankad S, Elahi D, Shannon RP. Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Infusion Improves Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction and Functional Status in Patients With Chronic Heart Failure. J Cardiac Fail 2006;12:694-699.
- 168. Ban K, Noyan-Ashraf MH, Hoefer J, Bolz SS, Drucker DJ, Husain M. Cardioprotective and vasodilatory actions of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor are mediated through both glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor-dependent and -independent pathways. Circulation 2008; 117: 2340–2350.
- 169. Zhang Y. Wang Q. Zhang J. Lei X. Xu GT. Ye W. Protection of exendin-4 analogue in early experimental diabetic retinopathy..Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2009; 247:699-706.
- 170. Shin YK, Martin B, Golden E, et al. Modulation of taste sensitivity by GLP-1 signaling. J Neurochem 2008;106:455-463.
- 171. Bertilsson G, Patrone C, Zachrisson O, et al. Peptide hormone exendin-4 stimulates subventricular zone neurogenesis in the adult rodent brain and induces recovery in an animal model of Parkinson's disease. J Neurosci Res 2008;86:326-338.
- 172. Harkavyi A, Abuirmeileh A, Lever R, Kingsbury AE, Biggs CS, Whitton PS. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor stimulation reverses key deficits in distinct rodent models of Parkinson's disease. J Neuroinflamm 2008; 5, article number: 19.
- 173. Perry TA, Lahiri DK, Sambamurti K, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 decreases endogenous amyloid-beta peptide (Abeta) levels and protects hippocampal neurons from death induced by Abeta and iron. J Neurosci Res 2003;72:603-612.

- 174. http://www.denvernaturopathic.com/news/incretin.html \_ednref8Perry TA, Greig NH. A new Alzheimer's disease interventive strategy: GLP-1. Curr Drug Targets 2004;5:565-571.
- 175. Li L. Is Glucagon-like peptide-1, an agent treating diabetes, a new hope for Alzheimer's disease?. [Review]. Neurosci Bull 2007;23:58-65.
- 176. Perez-Tilve D, Gonzalez-Matias L, Alvarez-Crespo M, et al. Exendin-4 potently decreases ghrelin levels in fasting rats. Diabetes 2007;56:143-151.
- 177. Martin B, Golden E, Carlson OD, et al. Exendin-4 improves glycemic control, ameliorates brain and pancreatic pathologies, and extends survival in a mouse model of Huntington's disease. Diabetes 2009;58:318-328.
- 178. Mentlein R. Dipeptidyl-peptidase IV (CD26): role in the inactivation of regulatory peptides. Regul Pept 1999;85:9-24.
- 179. Mentlein R. Cell surface peptidases. Int Rev Cytol 2004;235:165-213.
- Mannucci E, Pala L, Ciani S, et al. Hyperglycaemia increases dipeptidyl peptidase IV activity in diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 2005; 48: 1168–1172.
- Campbell RK. Rationale for dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors: A new class of oral agents for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Ann Pharmacother 2007;41:51-60.
- 182. Herman GA, Stevens C, Van Dyck K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of sitagliptin, an inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase IV, in healthy subjects: results from two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies with single oral doses. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2005;78:675–688.
- 183. Ristic S, Byiers S, Foley J, Holmes D. Improved glycaemic control with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition in patients with type 2 diabetes: vildagliptin (LAF237) dose response. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2005;7:692-698.
- 184. Herman GA, Bergman A, Stevens C, et al. Effect of single oral doses of sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, on incretin and plasma glucose levels after an oral glucose tolerance test in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91:4612-4619.
- 185. Aschner P, Kipnes MS, Lunceford JK, Sanchez M, Mickel C, Williams-Herman DE; Sitagliptin Study 021 Group. Effect of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin as monotherapy on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2006; 29: 2632-2637.
- Miller S, St Onge EL. Sitagliptin: a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Ann Pharmacother 2006;40:1336-1343.
- 187. Balas B, Baig MR, Watson C, et al. The dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor vildagliptin suppresses endogenous glucose production and enhances islet function after single dose administration in type 2 diabetic patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92:1249-1255.
- 188. Bosi E, Camisasca RP, Collober C, Rochotte E, Garber AJ. Effects of vildagliptin on glucose control over 24 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin. Diabetes Care 2007;30:890-895.
- 189. Drucker D, Easley C, Kirkpatrick P. Sitagliptin. Nature Rev Drug Discover 2007;6:109-110.
- 190. Gallwitz B. Sitagliptin: profile of a novel DPP-4 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (update). [Review]. Drugs Today (Barc) 2007;43:801-814.
- 191. Hanefeld M, Herman GA, Wu M, Mickel C, Sanchez M. Once-daily sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, for the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes. Curr Med Res Opin 2007;23:1329-1339.
- 192. Herman GA, Stein PP, Thornberry NA, Wagner JA. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: focus on sitagliptin. [Review]. Clin Pharmacol Therap 2007; 81:761-767.
- 193. Ahren B, Foley JE. The islet enhancer vildagliptin: mechanisms of improved glucose metabolism. [Review]. Int J Clin Pract 2008;159(Suppl):8-14.
- 194. Croxtall JD, Keam SJ. Vildagliptin: A review of its use in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. [Review]. Drugs 2008;68:2387-2409.
- 195. Karasik A, Aschner P, Katzeff H, Davies MJ, Stein PP. Sitagliptin, a DPP-4 inhibitor for the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes: A review of recent clinical trials. Curr Med Res Opin 2008;24:489-496.
- Pham DQ, Nogid A, Plakogiannis R.Sitagliptin: A novel agent for the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2008;65:521-531.
- 197. Rosenstock J, Foley JE, Rendell M, et al. Effects of the dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor vildagliptin on incretin hormones, islet function, and postprandial glycemia in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care 2008;31:30-35.
- 198. D'Alessio DA, Denney AM, Hermiller LM, et al. Treatment with the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor vildagliptin improves fasting islet-cell function in subjects with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:81-88.
- 199. Man CD, Bock G, Giesler PD, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition by

Iabetes Internaciona vildagliptin and the effect on insulin secretion and action in response to meal ingestion in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32;14-18.

- 200. Scott R, Wu M, Sanchez M, Stein P. Efficacy and tolerability of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin as monotherapy over 12 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes. Int J Clin Pract 2007; 61: 171-180.
- Pi-Sunyer FX, Schweizer A, Mills D, Dejager S. Efficacy and tolerability of vildagliptin monotherapy in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;76:132-138.
- 202. Dejager S, Razac S, Foley JE, Schweizer A. Vildagliptin in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, multiple-dose study. Horm Metab Res. 2007;39:218-223.
- 203. Raz I, Hanefeld M, Xu L, Caria C, Williams-Herman D, Khatami H. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin as monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 2006;49:2564-2571.
- 204. Kim D, Wang L, Beconi M, et al. (2R)-4-oxo-4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl) butan-2-amine: a potent, orally active dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. J Med Chem 2005;48:141-151.
- 205. Nonaka K, Tsubouchi H, Okuyama K, et al. Effect of once-daily sitagliptin on 24-h glucose control following 4-weeks of treatment in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Horm Metab Res 2009;41:232-237.
- 206. He YL, Sabo R, Campestrini J, et al. The effect of age, gender, and body mass index on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of vildagliptin in healthy volunteers. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2008;65: 338-346.
- 207. Thomas L, Tadayyon M, Mark M. Chronic treatment with the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor BI 1356 [(R)-8-(3-amino-piperidin-1-yl)-7-but-2-ynyl-3methyl-1-(4-methyl-quinazolin-2-ylmethyl)-3,7-dihydro-purine-2,6-dione] increases basal glucagon-like peptide-1 and improves glycemic control in diabetic rodent models. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2009;328:556-563.
- 208. Taldone T, Zito SW, Talele TT. Inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV) by atorvastatin. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2008;18:479-484.
- 209. Lankas GR, Leiting B, Roy RS, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibition for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: potential importance of selectivity over dipeptidyl peptidases 8 and 9. Diabetes 2005;54:2988-2994.
- Yilmaz Y, Atug O, Yonal O, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors: therapeutic potential in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Med Sci Monit 2009;15:HY1-HY5.
- 211. Charbonnel B, Karasik A, Jiu J, Wu M, Meininger G. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin added to ongoing metformin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin alone. Diabetes Care 2006;29:2638-2643.
- 212. Rosenstock J, Brazg R, Andryuk PJ, Lu K, Stein P; for the Sitagliptin Study 019 Group. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin added to ongoing pioglitazone therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. Clin Ther 2006;28:1556-1568.
- 213. Scott R, Loeys T, Davies MJ, Engel SS, Sitagliptin Study 801 Group. Efficacy and safety of sitagliptin when added to ongoing metformin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:959-969.
- 214. Brazg R, Xu L, Dalla MC, Cobelli C, Thomas K, Stein PP. Effect of adding sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, to metformin on 24-h glycaemic control and beta-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2007;9:186-193.
- 215. Nauck MA, Meininger G, Sheng D, et al. Sitagliptin Study 024 Group. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, compared with the sulfonylurea, glipizide, in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin alone: a randomized, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. Diabetes Obes Metab 2007;9:194-205.
- 216. Goldstein BJ, Feinglos MN, Lunceford JK, Johnson J, Williams-Herman DE. Effect of initial combination therapy with sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, and metformin on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1979-1987.
- 217. Hermansen K, Kipnes M, Luo E, Fanurik D, Khatami H, Stein P. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on glimepiride alone or on glimepiride and metformin. Diabetes Obes Metab 2007;9:733-745.
- 218. Mohan V, Yang W, Son HY et al. Efficacy and safety of sitagliptin in the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes in China, India, and Korea. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2009;83:106-116.
- 219. Gallwitz B. Sitagliptin with metformin: profile of a combination for the treat-

ment of type 2 diabetes. Drugs Today (Barc) 2007;43:681-689.

- 220. Mikhail N. Combination therapy with DPP-4 inhibitors and pioglitazone in type 2 diabetes: theoretical consideration and therapeutic potential. [Review]. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2008;4:1221-1227.
- 221. Herman GA, Bergman A, Yi B, Kipnes M. Tolerability and pharmacokinetics of metformin and the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin when co-administered in patients with type 2 diabetes. Curr Med Res Opin 2006;22:1939-1947.
- 222. Williams-Herman D, Round E, Swern AS., et al. Safety and tolerability of sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes: A pooled analysis. BMC Endocrine Disorders 2008;8 Article Number: 14.
- 223. Bergman AJ, Cote J, Yi B, et al. Effect of renal insufficiency on the pharmacokinetics of sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1862-1864.
- 224. Chan JC, Scott R, Arjona Ferreira JC, et al. Safety and efficacy of sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic renal insufficiency. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:545-555.
- 225. Seino Y. Relevance of incretins in the treatment of Asian patients with Type 2 diabetes [Abstract]. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008;79 (Suppl.1): S4.
- 226. Bergman AJ, Mistry GC, Luo W-L, et al., Dose-proportionality of a final market image sitagliptin formulation, an oral dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, in healthy volunteers. Biopharmaceutics Drug Dispos 2007;28:307-313.
- 227. Vincent SH, Reed JR, Bergman AJ, et al. Metabolism and excretion of the DPP-4 inhibitor [14C]sitagliptin in humans. Drug Metab Dispos. 2007;67:587-597.
- 228. Herman GA, Bergman A, Liu F, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic effects of the oral DPP-4 inhibitor sitagliptin in middle-aged obese subjects. J Clin Pharmacol 2006;46:876-886.
- 229. Migoya EM, Stevens CH, Bergman AJ, et al. Effect of moderate hepatic insufficiency on the pharmacokinetics of sitagliptin. Can J Clin pharmacol 2009;16:e165-e170.
- 230. Mistry GC, Bergman AJ, Zheng W, et al. Sitagliptin, an dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, does not alter the pharmacokinetics of the sulphonylurea, glyburide, in healthy subjects. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2008;66:36-42.
- 231. Mistry GC, Bergman AJ, Luo W-L, et al. Multiple-dose administration of sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, does not alter the single-dose pharmacokinetics of rosiglitazone in healthy subjects. J Clin Pharmacol 2007;47:159-164.
- 232. Bergman AJ, Cote J, Maes A, et al. Effect of sitagliptin on the pharmacokinetics of simvastatin. J Clin Pharmacol 2009:49:483-488.
- 233. He YL, Serra D, Wang Y, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of vildagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Pharmacokinet 2007;46:577–788.
- 234. Kikuchi M, Abe N, Kato M, Terao S, Mimori N, Tachibana H. Vildagliptin dose-dependently improves glycemic control in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2009;83:233-240.
- 235. Pan C, Yang W, Barbona JP, et al. Comparison of vildagliptin and acarbose monotherapy in patients with Type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, double-blind, randomized trial. Diabet Med 2008;10:435–441.
- 236. Schweizer A, Couturier A, Foley JE, Dejager S. Comparison between vildagliptin and metformin to sustain reductions in HbA(1c) over 1 year in drugnaive patients with Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2007;24:955-961.
- 237. Rosenstock J, Niggli M, Maldonado-Lutomirsky M. Long-term 2-year safety and efficacy of vildagliptin compared with rosiglitazone in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:571-578.
- 238. Scherbaum WA, Schweizer A, Mari A, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of vildagliptin in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes and mild hyperglycaemia. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:675-682.
- 239. Ahren B, Gomis R, Standl E, Mills D, Schweizer A. Twelve- and 52-week efficacy of the dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor LAF237 in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2004;27:2874-2880.
- 240. Rosenstock J, Baron MA, Camisasca RP, Cressier F, Couturier A, Dejager S. Efficacy and tolerability of initial combination therapy with vildagliptin and pioglitazone compared with component monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2007;9:175-185.
- 241. Garber AJ, Schweizer A, Baron MA, Rochotte E, Dejager S. Vildagliptin in combination with pioglitazone improves glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes failing thiazolidinedione monotherapy: a randomized, placebo-controlled study, Diabetes Obes Metab 2007;9:166–174.
- 242. Garber AJ, Foley JE, M.A. Banerji MA, et al. Effects of vildagliptin on glucose

control in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with a sulphonylurea. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:1047-1056.

- 243. Fonseca V, Schweizer A, Albrecht D, Baron MA, Chang I, Dejager S, Addition of vildagliptin to insulin improves glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2007;50:1148–1155.
- 244. Bosi E, Dotta F, Jia Y, Goodman M. Vildagliptin plus metformin combination therapy provides superior glycaemic control to individual monotherapy in treatment-naive patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:506-515.
- 245. Rosenstock J, Baron MA, Dejager S, Mills D, Schweizer A. Comparison of vildagliptin and rosiglitazone monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, double-blind, randomized trial. Diabetes Care 2007;30:217-223.
- 246. Bolli G, Dotta F, Rochotte E, Cohen SE. Efficacy and tolerability of vildagliptin vs. pioglitazone when added to metformin: a 24-week, randomized, double-blind study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;9:82–90.
- 247. Goke B, Hershon K, Kerr D, et al. Efficacy and safety of vildagliptin monotherapy during 2-year treatment of drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: comparison with metformin.Horm Metab Res 2008;40:892-895.
- 248. Ferrannini E, Fonseca V, Zinman B, et al. Fifty-two-week efficacy and safety of vildagliptin vs. glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin monotherapy. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:157-166.
- 249. Serra D, He YL, Bullock J, et al. Evaluation of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interaction between the dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor vildagliptin, glyburide and pioglitazone in patients with Type 2 diabetes. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;46:349-364.
- 250. Ahren B, Pacini G, Foley JE, et al. Improved meal-related  $\beta$ -cell function and insulin sensitivity by the dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor vildagliptin in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes over 1 year. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:1936-1940.
- 251. He YL, Wang Y, Bullock JM, et al. Pharmacodynamics of vildagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes during OGTT. J Clin Pharmacol 2007;47: 633–641.
- 252. Scheen AJ, Paquqt N. Vildagliptin (galvus) and fixed combination vildagliptine-metformin (eucreas) in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Rev Med Liege 2009;64:161-167.
- 253. Halimi S, Schweizer A, Minic B, Foley J, Dejager S. Combination treatment in the management of type 2 diabetes: Focus on vildagliptin and metformin as a single tablet. Vasc Health Risk Manage 2008;4:481-492.
- 254. Bailey CJ, Day C. Fixed-dose single tablet antidiabetic combinations. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:527-533.
- 255. Mari A, Sallas WM, He YL, et al. Vildagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor, improves model-assessed beta-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:4888-4894.
- 256. He Y-L, Serra D, Wang Y, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of vildagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Pharmacokinet 2007;46:577-588.
- 257. Mari A, Scherbaum WA, Nilsson PM, et al. Characterization of the influence of vildagliptin on model-assessed beta-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes and mild hyperglycemia, J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:103–109.
- 258. Pratley RE, Schweizer A, Rosenstock J, et al. Robust improvements in fasting and prandial measures of beta-cell function with vildagliptin in drug-naive patients: analysis of pooled vildagliptin monotherapy database. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:931-938.
- 259. Rhee MK, Umpierrez GE. Improving insulin sensitivity: A review of new therapies. Clin Cornerstone 2008;9(Suppl. 2):S28-S38.
- 260. Ahren B, Schweizer A, Dejager S, et al. Vildagliptin enhances islet responsiveness to both hyper- and hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:1236-1243.
- 261. D'Alessio DA, Denney AM, Hermiller LM, et al. Treatment with the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor vildagliptin improves fasting islet-cell function in subjects with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:81-88.
- 262. Mathieu C. The scientific evidence: Vildagliptin and the benefits of islet enhancement. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11(Suppl. 2):9-17.
- McGill JB. Impact of incretin therapy on islet dysfunction: an underlying defect in the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Postgrad Med. 2009;121:46-58.
- 264. Scherbaum WA. Islet enhancer vildagliptin: A powerful partner with metformin for the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11(Suppl. 2):1-2.
- 265. He H, Tran P, Yin H, et al. Absorption, metabolism, and excretion of [14C]

vildagliptin, a novel dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor, in humans. Drug Metab Dispos 2009;37:536-544.

- 266. Hu P, Yin Q, Deckert F, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of vildagliptin in healthy Chinese volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2009;49: 39 49.
- 267. He Y-L, Sabo R, Campestrini J, et al. The effect of age, gender, and body mass index on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of vildagliptin in healthy volunteers. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2008;65:338-346.
- 268. Sunkara G, Sabo R, Wang Y, et al. Dose proportionality and the effect of food on vildagliptin, a novel dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor, in healthy volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2007;47:1152-1158.
- 269. He YL, Sabo R, Campestrini J, et al. The influence of hepatic impairment on the pharmacokinetics of the dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-4) inhibitor vildagliptin. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2007;63:677-686.
- 270. He YL, Sabo R, Sunkara G et al. Evaluation of pharmacokinetic interactions between vildagliptin and digoxin in healthy volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2007;47:998-1004.
- 271. He YL, Ligueros-Saylan M, Sunkara G, et al. Vildagliptin, a novel dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor, has no pharmacokinetic interactions with the antihypertensive agents amlodipine, valsartan, and ramipril in healthy subjects. J Clin Pharmacol 2008;48:85-95.
- 272. Ayalasomayajula SP, Dole K, He YL, et al. Evaluation of the potential for steady-state pharmacokinetic interaction between vildagliptin and simvastatin in healthy subjects. Curr Med Res Opin 2007;23:2913-2920.
- 273. He YL, Sabo R, Riviere GJ, et al. Effect of the novel oral dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor vildagliptin on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin in healthy subjects. Curr Med Res Opin 2007;23:1131-1138.
- 274. Wang Y, Serradell N, Rosa E, Bolos J. Alogliptin benzoate. Dipeptidylpeptidase IV (DPP IV) inhibitor treatment of type 2 diabetes. Drugs Future 2008;33:7-12.
- 275. Ahren B Emerging dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for the treatment of diabetes. Expert Opin Emerging Drugs 2008;13:593-607.
- 276. Feng J, Zhang Z, Wallace MB, et al. Discovery of alogliptin: a potent, selective, bioavailable, and efficacious inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase IV. J Med Chem 2007;50:2297–2300.
- Pratley RE. Alogliptin: a new, highly selective dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. [Review]. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2009;10:503-512.
- 278. Cole P, Serradell N, Bolos J, Castaner R. Saxagliptin. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor: Antidiabetic agent. Drugs Future 2008;33:577-586
- 279. Gallwitz B. Saxagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. [Review]. Idrugs 2008;11:906-917.
- 280. DeFronzo RA, Fleck RR, Wilson CA, Mekki Q, and on behalf of the Alogliptin Study 010 Group. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl oeptidase-4 inhibitor Alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycemic control: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Care 2008;31:2315-2317.
- 281. Nauck MA. Ellis GC. Fleck PR, Wilson C.A., Mekki Q. Alogliptin Study 008 Group. Efficacy and safety of adding the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin to metformin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin monotherapy: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Int J Clin Pract 2009;63:46-55.
- 282. Covington P. Christopher R. Davenport M. Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and tolerability profiles of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multiple-dose study in adult patients with type 2 diabetes. Clin Ther 2008;30:499-512.
- 283. Moritoh Y, Takeuchi K, Asakawa T, Kataoka T, Odaka H. The dipetidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in combination with pioglitazone improves glycemic control, lipid profiles, and increases pancreatic insulin content in ob/ ob mice. Eur J Pharmacol 2009;602:448-454.
- 284. Pratley R.E., Kipnes M.S., Fleck P.R., et al.Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by glyburide monotherapy. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:167-176.
- 285. Christopher R, Covington P, Davenport M, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and tolerability of single increasing doses of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in healthy male subjects. Clin Ther 2008;30:513-527.
- 286. Rosenstock J, Sankoh S, List JF. Glucose-lowering activity of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor saxagliptin in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:376-386.