

## Original Article

# Prescribing patterns and economic costs of proton pump inhibitors in Colombia

## Patrones de prescripción y costos económicos de inhibidores de bomba de protones en Colombia

Machado-Alba, Jorge<sup>ab\*</sup>; Fernández, Alejandra<sup>a</sup>; Castrillón, Juan Daniel<sup>a</sup>; Campo, Carlos Felipe<sup>a</sup>; Echeverri, Luis Felipe<sup>a</sup>; Gaviria, Andrés<sup>a</sup>; Londoño, Manuel José<sup>a</sup>; Ochoa, Sergio Andrés<sup>a</sup>; Ruiz, Joaquín Octavio<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> Grupo Investigación Farmacoepidemiología y Farmacovigilancia. Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira-Audifarma S.A.

<sup>b</sup> Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Machado-Alba J, Fernández A, Castrillón JD, Campo CF, Echeverri LF, Gaviria A, Londoño MJ, Ochoa SA, Ruiz JO. Prescribing patterns and economic costs of proton pump inhibitors in Colombia. *Colomb. Med*; 2013 44 (1) 13-8.

### Article history:

Received 3 May 2012

Received in revised form 5 June 2012

Accepted 8 February 2013

### Keywords:

Health care costs, drug costs, pharmaceutical services, omeprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole.

### Palabras clave:

Costos de la Atención en Salud, Costos en Drogas, Servicios Farmacéuticos, omeprazol, esomeprazol, lanzoprazol, farmacoepidemiología (fuente:DeCS).

### Abstract

**Objective:** To determine the prescribing patterns for proton pump inhibitors and to estimate the economic cost of their use in a group of patients affiliated with the Colombian Health System.

**Methods:** This is a descriptive observational study. Data for analysis consisted of prescriptions dispensed between October 1st, 2010 and October 31st, 2010 and were collected from a systematic database of 4.2 million members. Socio-demographic variables were considered along with the defined daily dose, comedication, convenience of the indication for proton pump inhibitor use and costs.

**Results:** In this study, 113,560 prescriptions were dispensed in 89 cities, mostly to women (57.6%) with a mean age of 54.4 ± 18.7 years; the drugs were omeprazole (n=111,294; 97.81%), esomeprazole (n=1,378; 1.2%), lansoprazole (n=524; 0.4%), pantoprazole and rabeprazole. The indication for 87,349 of the formulas (76.9%) was justified and statistically associated with the use of NSAIDs, antithrombotics, corticosteroids, anti-ulcer, antibiotics and prokinetics. No justification was found for 26,211 (23.1%) of the prescriptions, which were associated with antidiabetics, antihypertensives, hypolipidemics and others (p < 0.001). The annual justified cost was estimated to be US\$ 1,654,701 and the unjustified cost was estimated to be U.S. \$ 2,202,590, as calculated using the minimum reference prices.

### Resumen

**Objetivos.** Determinar los patrones de prescripción de inhibidores de la bomba de protones y estimar el costo económico que genera su utilización en pacientes afiliados al Sistema General de Seguridad Social en Salud de Colombia

**Metodología.** Estudio descriptivo observacional. Se analizaron datos de fórmulas dispensadas entre 1 y 31 de octubre de 2010 de una base de datos sistematizada de 4,2 millones de afiliados. Se consideraron variables socio-demográficas, dosis diaria definida, comedificación recibida, conveniencia o no del tipo de indicación de IBP y costos.

**Resultados.** Se dispensaron 113 560 fórmulas en 89 municipios, principalmente a mujeres (57,6%); promedio de edad 54,4±18,7 años; los medicamentos fueron omeprazol (n=111294, 97,8%), esomeprazol (n=1378, 1,2%), lanzoprazol (n=524, 0,4%), pantoprazol y rabeprazol a dosis diarias definidas adecuadas. Se halló justificación en la indicación de 87349 fórmulas (76,9%) asociadas estadísticamente con uso de AINEs, antitrombóticos, corticoides, antiulcerosos, antibióticos, procinéticos, y sin justificación 26211 (23,1%) asociadas a antidiabéticos, antihipertensivos e hipolipemiantes y otros (p<0,001). El costo anual justificado fue de US\$1.654.701 y no justificado de U.S.\$2,202,590 empleando mínimos precios de referencia.

**Discusión.** Mensualmente se está cargando al sistema de salud colombiano con costos no justificados del uso de inhibidores de bomba de protones en indicaciones no aprobadas y con medicamentos por fuera del listado de esenciales que contribuyen a encarecer la atención sanitaria.

### Introduction

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) were identified in 1979 and approved for the management of acid-peptic disease; they were subsequently introduced to the market in 1989<sup>1</sup>.

Several recent studies comparing omeprazole and ranitidine demonstrate the greater effectiveness of omeprazole in the treatment of peptic ulcer disease<sup>2</sup>, upper gastrointestinal bleeding<sup>1,2</sup> and gastroesophageal reflux, leading to an increase in the use of PPIs<sup>3</sup>. In some cases, however, off-label uses increase PPI sales<sup>3-5</sup> and increase the overall costs for healthcare worldwide<sup>6,7</sup>.

Several conditions justify the use of PPIs, including different forms of peptic ulcer disease (*Helicobacter pylori* associated or not), functional dyspepsia, gastroesophageal reflux, gastrointestinal bleeding prevention in conditions of severe stress and prophylaxis

### \*Corresponding Author.

E-mail Address : machado@utp.edu.co (Machado-Alba J), alita1019@hotmail.com (Fernandez A), chojuan1789@hotmail.com (Castrillón JD), carlosfelipecb@hotmail.com (Campo CF), luisfelipecheverri@gmail.com (Echeverry LF), andresgaviria88@gmail.com (Gaviria A) manuelj29@hotmail.com (Londoño JM), efrates59@hotmail.com (Ochoa SA) juaco990@gmail.com (Ruiz O)

for peptic ulcer disease induced by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and corticosteroids. However, the use of PPIs has begun to extend to pathologies for which they were not designed and for which there is insufficient scientific evidence justify their use<sup>6-8</sup>.

The uncontrolled use of PPIs is associated with atrophic gastritis, interstitial nephritis, induction of ulcer symptoms, thrombocytopenia, osteoporosis and endocrine disorders such as gynecomastia and impotence<sup>9-12</sup>. The probability of adverse reactions to PPIs also increases with poly medication and is higher in patients with chronic diseases<sup>13,14</sup>. This is due in part to the metabolism of PPIs through cytochrome P450, which leads to various drugs interactions by extending their half-life and thereby causes harmful systemic effects<sup>14</sup>.

Countries such as Argentina, Ireland, Spain and Greece have reported significant additional healthcare costs incurred by the inappropriate prescription of PPIs. Indeed, it has been found that between 70% and 80% of PPIs prescriptions are for off-label uses<sup>3,4,6,8</sup>. To date, this type of study had not been done in Colombia, but is necessary in order to determine the pattern of PPI prescriptions and their costs, just as previous research has done for antihypertensives, antidiabetics, lipid lowering drugs, anti-tumor necrosis factor, antibiotics and antiretrovirals. Studies on all of these medications have revealed issues with dosing, indications, safety and cost effectiveness within the Colombian Health System (SGSSS)<sup>15,16</sup>.

In order to determine the prescribing patterns of PPIs and to calculate the costs generated by their use, this research was conducted in an outpatient population of the SGSSS that were being treated with these drugs. The implementation of information systems in investigating prescribing practices has been an essential tool for achieving a greater therapeutic quality of the drug prescriptions, contributing in improving the rationale use of drugs according to their approved indications.

### Materials And Methods

This is a descriptive study that provides an economic analysis of PPI prescription patterns in a sample of 4.2 million people that represent 20.4% of the patients affiliated with SGSSS and 8.2 % of the total population of Colombia.

These patients were affiliated with 16 different health insurance companies (EPS) and 42 different health service providers (IPS) across 89 cities of between 20,000 and 7.5 million inhabitants. The cities of Barranquilla, Bogota, Bucaramanga, Cali, Cartagena, Ibague, Manizales, Medellin and Pereira accounted for 80% of the patients. Patients of all ages and of both sexes who received a prescription for a PPI between October 1, 2010 and October 31, 2010 were included in this research sample.

The Department of Pharmacoepidemiology of the company that dispenses PPIs helped design a database allowing for the collection of certain descriptive variables about the patients using these medications, as described below:

Demographic variables recorded included age, gender, insurance company (EPS) provider, and city. Information was also collected

on the specific PPI drugs used along with their respective doses; these drugs were esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole and rabeprazole.

Information on comedications was also collected. Pertinent comedications included: a) Antihypertensives (ACE inhibitors, diuretics, beta blockers, calcium antagonists, prazosin), b) antiplatelet agents (acetylsalicylic acid, clopidogrel), c) analgesics (acetaminophen, metamizole), d) diabetes medications (insulin, metformin, sulfonilureas), e) NSAIDs (ibuprofen and others), f) antiemetics (metoclopramide), g) antibiotics for the treatment of *H. pylori* infection (amoxicillin, clarithromycin, azithromycin, metronidazole, tetracyclines, levofloxacin), h) corticosteroids (prednisolone, and others), i) lipid-lowering drugs (statins, fibrates, ezetimibe, cholestyramine), j) other anti-ulcer medications (sucralfate, bismuth, antacids, H2 blockers), k) Disease modifying anti rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) (methotrexate, and others), l) nitrates, m) inotropic agents (digoxin, metildigoxin), n) bisphosphonates, and o) antithyroid /thyroid hormone medications (methimazole, propylthiouracil, levotiroxin)<sup>15,16</sup>.

Among the PPI-treated patient pool, comedication was used as surrogate indicator of chronic disease for the following classes of treatment and associated conditions: antihypertensive / high blood pressure, antiplatelet /ischemic heart disease, analgesics / pain management, antidiabetic drugs / diabetes mellitus, NSAID / inflammatory joint disease, antiemetics / reflux or dyspepsia, antibiotics / peptic ulcer disease by *H. pylori*, corticosteroid / inflammatory or autoimmune disease, lipid lowering drug / dyslipemia, PPIs or H2 blocker / peptic ulcer disease, DMARDs/ rheumatoid arthritis, nitrate / ischemic heart disease, inotropic agent/ heart failure or atrial fibrillation, bisphosphonates / osteoporosis, anti thyroid or thyroid hormone/ hyper or hypothyroidism. Was accepted as proper use, according to comedications as well: NSAID / inflammatory joint disease, corticosteroid / inflammatory or autoimmune disease, antibiotics / peptic ulcer disease by *H. pylori*, antiemetics / reflux or dyspepsia. All others were considered off label comedications<sup>7,17</sup>.

The daily defined dose (DDD) was used to measure the level of drug dispensation according to World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations, expressed as DDD (defined daily doses per 1000 inhabitants per day). The overall individual costs and the cost per 1000 inhabitants / day (CHD = (cost/365 x No. inhabitants) x (1000) for each PPI were used to estimate the economic impact of PPI use, using either the minimum or the maximum market price of each drug and the benchmark price of the dispensing company for different insurance companies (EPS); additionally, the monthly and annual costs of these prescriptions were estimated.

The protocol was reviewed by the Bioethics Committee of the Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira and the EPS participants, and was approved in the category of research without risk. For data analysis, we used the statistical package SPSS \* Statistics Version 19 (IBM, USA) for Windows. The descriptive statistics used were mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. We used the Chi-square test for the comparison of categorical variables. Binary logistic regression models were applied using non-

justified IPBs as the dependent variable. Statistical significance was predetermined to be  $p < 0.05$  (95 % confidence interval).

## Results

At least one PPI was dispensed to 113,560 of the total 4.2 million users in the database on a monthly basis; of these patients, 65,460 (57.6%) were women, the mean age was  $54.4 \pm 18.7$  years (range 1 to 100) and no significant differences in age between men and women (men's mean of 54.5/ vs. women's mean of 54.3). However, women were at greater risk of receiving a PPI for a non-indicated reason than men were (OR 1.4, 95% CI: 1.406 to 1.513,  $p = 0.03$ ). The prevalence of PPI use was 3.0% in the studied population.

Table 1 summarizes the prescribing patterns of the main PPIs used in Colombia. The most prescribed PPI in the population was omeprazole (20 mg), followed by esomeprazole (20 mg and 40 mg), but other PPIs were also prescribed in lesser quantities. Of all the patients in the study, 107,952 were given a prescription for a single PPI (95.1%), while the remaining 5,608 (4.9%) were given prescriptions for a combination therapy with another antiulcer drug.

## Comedication

Among the patients included in this study, 89,468 (78.8%) were concomitantly receiving one or more of the following groups of drugs that reflected a comorbidity and may cause drug interactions with PPIs: antihypertensives (53,552; 47.2% of patients), antithrombotics (28,534; 25.1%), analgesics (26,548; 23.4%), antidiabetic agents (13,019; 11.5%), NSAIDs (11,965; 10.5%), antiemetic agents (8,267; 7.3%), antibiotics (7,846; 6.9%); corticosteroids (6,645; 5.9%), lipid lowering drugs (6,135; 5.4%), DMARDs (3,749; 3.3%), nitrates (1,241; 1.1%), inotropic agents (785; 0.7%), bisphosphonates (652; 0.6%), thyroid-based medications (187; 0.2%), anti thyroid-based medications (108; 0.1%) and Cox-2 selective NSAIDs (37; <0.1%).

A total of 24,092 (21.2%) patients were receiving omeprazole exclusively, while 36,182 (31.9%) were receiving one additional drug besides omeprazole. A total of 29,733 (26.2%) received two additional drugs, 16,628 (14.6%) received three additional drugs, 5,488 (4.8 %) received four additional drugs, and 1,186 (1.0%) received five additional drugs. Other patients received as many as six to nine additional drugs (0.2%). The convenience of the use of PPIs

along with additional drugs was reviewed. Their use was indicated in 87,349 (76.8%) of the cases reviewed, but was not medically justified in 26,211 (23.1%) of the cases.

In a binary logistic regression analysis, the use of analgesics, anti-diabetics, antihypertensives, lipid lowering drugs and other anti-ulcer drugs were significantly associated with non-indicated PPI use. Alternatively, the use of antibiotics, NSAIDs, anti-emetics, corticosteroids and anti-platelet agents were significantly associated with appropriate PPI use (Table 2).

## Description By Cities

We also assessed the role of demographic variables in PPI prescribing practices among the 89 Colombian cities included in this analysis. Because some cities had only a few enrolled patients, Table 3 only includes the nine largest cities, which account for 81.0% of the patients. The same analysis was used for the global sum. As shown, no significant differences in the demographic variables, frequency of monotherapy use, comedication use and DDD were observed between the different cities. However, binary logistic regression analysis showed that in Bogota, Barranquilla and Pereira, there was a statistically significant association with the non-indicated use of PPIs (Table 2).

## Economic Analysis

It was found that, on average, the general population consumed 0.9 DDD of omeprazole, 0.01 DDD of esomeprazole and 0.004 DDD of lansoprazole per 1,000 inhabitants per day; this data is of clear possible use for future research comparisons. It was determined that the cost per 1000 inhabitants per day for omeprazole was U.S. \$ 1.2, for esomeprazole U.S. \$ 0.6, for lansoprazole U.S. \$ 0.2, for pantoprazole U.S. \$ 0.02, and for rabeprazole U.S. \$ 0.01.

The estimated range in the monthly cost of all justified prescriptions was between U.S. \$ 137,891, as calculated based on the cheapest omeprazole brand on the market (U.S. \$ 0.04/pill), and U.S. \$ 7,002,798, as calculated based on the most expensive omeprazole brand on the market (U.S. \$ 2.9/pill).

The estimated monthly cost of non-justified prescriptions was U.S. \$ 183,549 per month, of which 92.6% were for non-indicated uses of omeprazole and 7.4% for non-indicated uses of other PPIs. The annual justified cost was estimated to be U.S. \$ 1,654,441 and the annual non-justified cost was estimated to be U.S. \$ 2,130,131 based on minimum prices (Table 4). (Exchange rates were representative of the market in October of 2010, and were 1,816 pesos to 1 dollar).

## Discussion

Since PPIs are known to be more efficacious than other anti-ulcer medications and to have a relatively low toxicity, they have become one of the most prescribed drugs worldwide<sup>2,6,7</sup>.

The average age of patients enrolled in this study is greater than 54 years, with a female: male ratio of 1.36:1. Interestingly, female patients were found to be at higher risk of receiving an unjustified PPI. This may be because women tend to consult physicians more often and sooner than do men.

Medication[mg]	Prescribed doses (mg/day)		Ratio monotherapy:combination		Gender ratio F:M <sup>a</sup>
	n[%]	Mean	Mode		
Omeprazole 20	111,2949[7.8]	24	20	1:3.8	1:0.7
Esomeprazole 20	771[0.7]	27	20	1:2.9	1:1.3
Esomeprazole 40	607[0.5]	58	40	01:01	01:09
Lansoprazole 30	474[0.4]	42	30	1:1.3	1:1.1
Omeprazole inj <sup>b</sup> 40	33[0.03]	4	40	-	-
Omeprazole 10	85[0.1]	14	10	1:1.4	1:01
Omeprazole 40	85[0.1]	59	40	1:1.7	1:0.8
Pantoprazole 40	53[0.05]	50	40	1:1.1	1:1.3
Lanzoprazole15	50[0.04]	21	15	1:1.1	1:1.2
Pantoprazole 20	18[0.02]	23	20	1:1.1	1:1.3
Rabeprazole 20	17[0.01]	30	20	1:0.9	1:0.7

**Table 1.** Proton Pump Inhibitors prescribing patterns in patients affiliated to the Colombian Health Social Security System (SGSSS), October 2010, <sup>a</sup>Female :Male, <sup>b</sup>Injectable.

Variables	B <sup>a</sup>	E,E, <sup>b</sup>	Wald	GL <sup>c</sup>	Sig, <sup>d</sup>	OR <sup>e</sup>	CI, f 95% para OR	
							Inferior	Superior
<b>Medication</b>								
NSAIDs	-1.565	0.031	2509.369	1	0.000	0.209	0.197	0.222
Analgesics	-2.187	0.031	5008.906	1	0.000	0.112	0.106	0.119
Antibiotics	-0.804	0.031	680.650	1	0.000	0.448	0.421	0.476
Antidiabetics	1.042	0.040	691.104	1	0.000	2.834	2.622	3.063
Antiemetics	-1.015	0.032	1021.967	1	0.000	0.362	0.341	0.386
Antihypertensives	-4.010	0.036	12503.635	1	0.000	0.018	0.017	0.019
Antithrombotic	-0.509	0.036	200.040	1	0.000	0.601	0.560	0.645
Antiulcer	0.174	0.035	24.898	1	0.000	1.190	1.111	1.274
Corticoids	0.753	0.036	438.496	1	0.000	2.124	1.979	2.279
Hipolipidemics	2.112	0.043	2421.128	1	0.000	8.265	7.598	8.991
<b>Gender (Female)</b>	0.378	0.019	407.437	1	0.000	1.459	1.406	1.513
<b>City</b>								
Bogotá	1.223	0.020	3788.610	1	0.000	3.397	3.267	3.532
Barranquilla	2.399	0.032	5584.805	1	0.000	11.016	10.344	11.731
Pereira	2.956	0.038	5927.235	1	0.000	19.215	17.822	20.717

**Table 2.** Variables related to justified and non-justified treatment with Proton Pump Inhibitors in binary logistic regression models, Colombia October, 2010.

<sup>a</sup>B: regression coefficient; <sup>b</sup>EE: standard error; <sup>c</sup>GL: degrees of freedom; <sup>d</sup>Sig: significance level; <sup>e</sup>OR: Odds Ratio; <sup>f</sup>CI 95%: 95% confidence interval.

It is noteworthy that only omeprazole is included in the list of essential medicines in Colombia, and as such is considered the drug of choice for the treatment of acid peptic disease<sup>18</sup>. Given its placement on the essential medication list, it is understandable that 97.8% of patients were treated with omeprazole, while only a small group received another PPI. This result differs from other reports, which showed increased consumption of PPIs other than omeprazole<sup>3,19</sup>.

Prescribed doses of omeprazole and other PPIs are appropriate in the recommended dosage ranges for monotherapy. It is striking that about 5.0% of patients received anti-ulcer associations, given the limited evidence that their combined use provides any additional therapeutic benefits<sup>20</sup>. However, an evaluation of the use of PPIs indicated that 21.2% of patients received only an anti-ulcer medication, which is unusual given that 80% to 90% of all peptic ulcer diseases are associated with *H. pylori* infection. Such patients should therefore be receiving a PPI in conjunction with antibiotics, which was only documented in 6.9% of the cases analyzed<sup>21</sup>.

When assessing the comorbidities leading to the prescription of comedications, it was found that hypertension and diabetes are the most prevalent comorbidities, with 47.2% and 11.5% of individuals affected respectively. Such patients must therefore use drugs

that are not associated with gastric or duodenal mucosal injury<sup>14</sup>. We also found that frequent use of concomitant antiplatelet medications with PPIs causes an increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding<sup>22</sup>. An increased risk of bleeding is also associated with the use of NSAIDs and corticosteroids (10.5% and 5.9% of patients received comedication with a PPI, respectively)<sup>22,23</sup>. The remaining comedication patterns reported here, including comedication with lipid-lowering drugs and analgesics, have not been justified for use as mucosal protectors, especially given the highly aggressive nature of these drugs<sup>7</sup>.

However, it was found that PPIs were used appropriately in 76.9% of cases, particularly when prescribed along with NSAIDs, antiplatelet drugs, corticosteroids, antibiotics to eradicate *H. pylori* and prokinetics. This percentage is higher than that reported in previous studies demonstrating the inappropriate use of these drugs in patients with polymedication. The percentage of inappropriate PPI use was found to be 72.2% in Spain<sup>6</sup>, 66.1% in Argentina<sup>8</sup>, 13.0% in France<sup>19</sup> and between 25 and 70% in the UK<sup>5</sup>.

It should be noted that the chronic use of PPIs is associated with an increased risk of both atrophic gastritis and community-acquired pneumonia<sup>24</sup>.

	Bogotá	Barranquilla	Cartagena	Manizales	Pereira	Cali	Medellin	Ibague	Bucaramanga	Colombia
	n = 48,981	n = 9,257	n = 6,559	n = 6,383	n = 6,320	n = 6,320	n = 3,928	n = 2,760	n = 1,941	n = 113,560
Mean age <sup>a</sup>	57.7	53.6	55.5	53.2	50.9	58.6	61	51.9	51.1	55.4
Women (%)	52.2	58.4	66.4	66.2	63.5	63.5	66.1	68.4	56.6	57.6
Monotherapy (%)	21.3	25.4	23.4	17.4	19.1	19.1	17.8	28.7	24.7	21.2
Comedication (%)	78.7	74.6	76.6	82.6	80.9	80.9	82.2	71.3	75.3	78.8
<b>Relation between mean dosage and DDD<sup>b</sup></b>										
Omeprazole	1.2	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2

**Table 3.** Comparison of socio-demographic variables and PPI prescription indicators among nine Colombian cities, October, 2010.

<sup>a</sup> n (age) = 20,727 people

<sup>b</sup> DDD Omeprazole = 20 mg/day

Medication	Number Prescriptions	Reference price (COP\$)	Monthly		Annual	
			COP\$	USD\$ <sup>a</sup>	COP\$	USD\$
<b>Justified</b>						
Omeprazole	87,349	75	250,411,500	137,891	3,004,938,000	1,654,441
<b>Non-justified</b>						
Omeprazole 20 mg	24,221	75	54,497,250	30,005	653,967,000	360,058
Esomeprazole 20 mg	771	3,866	134,130,870	73,849	1,609,570,440	886,19
Esomeprazole 40 mg	607	4,215	76,755,150	42,259	921,061,800	507,144
Lansoprazole 30 mg	474	3,138	44,622,360	24,568	535,468,320	294,815
Lansoprazole 15 mg	50	1,948	5,844,000	3,217	70,128,000	38,611
Pantoprazole 20 mg	18	4,710	5,086,800	2,801	61,041,600	33,608
Pantoprazole 40 mg	53	5,431	8,635,290	4,754	103,623,480	57,052
Rabeprazole 20 mg	17	7,360	3,753,600	2,067	45,043,200	24,8
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>26,211</b>		<b>333,325,320</b>	<b>183,549</b>	<b>3,999,903,840</b>	<b>2,130,131</b>
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>113,560</b>		<b>583,736,820</b>	<b>321,440</b>	<b>7,004,841,840</b>	<b>3,784,572</b>

**Table 4.** Monthly and annual cost of justified and non-justified PPI prescriptions in patients affiliated to the Colombian Health Social Security System (SGSSS), October 2010. <sup>a</sup> Foreign Currency Exchange Rate: 1,816 pesos per 1 dollar.

The differences in prescribing patterns between the different Colombian cities analyzed in this study, which included differences in the frequency of use, the percentage of monotherapy or comedication, and the DDD (Tables 2 and 3), are not surprising given the high variability in healthcare. Indeed, differences in prescribing habits are frequently reported in pharmacoepidemiological studies<sup>15,16</sup>. However, physicians in all cities typically prescribed an appropriate DDD. The most striking finding of this study is that patients in Pereira, Barranquilla and Bogota were 19.2, 11.0 and 3.3 times more likely to receive a prescription for a non-justified PPI use compared to patients from other cities. These variations, important for their clinical, social and economic implications, reflect the professional practice styles of physicians, which result from both personal factors and differences in medical training<sup>15,16</sup>.

A 2002, an Irish study showed that 10.5% of drug sales covered under their health system, equivalent to about € 55 million per year, were for the use of the commercial generic brand omeprazole<sup>25</sup>. The findings of the present study are similar, given that when extrapolating the value of U.S. \$ 3.7 million spent by 8.2% of the total Colombian population, the annual cost rises to about U.S. \$ 46 million.

Furthermore, 83.6% of the annual non-justified costs are associated with the unjustified use of PPIs not included in the Basic Health Plan, meaning they are provided by commercial brands with higher retail prices. Simply replacing these brands with the generic omeprazole could result in annual savings of approximately U.S. \$ 1.8 million, which would translate to population-level annual savings of about U.S. \$ 20.7 million. An additional excess spending of U.S. \$ 360,000 is caused by the non-justified use of generic omeprazole. In the total population, this spending reaches an annual cost about U.S. \$ 4.4 million. To combat such problems, some countries have made proposals detailing the enormous savings that the replacement of commercial brands for generic brands would generate<sup>19</sup>.

There are some limitations to the present study and the interpretation of certain results therein. All data used for the analysis were obtained from dispensation databases rather than directly from

either patients or prescribers or from a directly-consulted clinical record. This limitation will be mitigated by the second phase of this research, which will allow further characterization of the prescription of anti-ulcer drugs and particularly PPIs. Since this study design allows only for the collection of dispensation data, it will be necessary to gather additional information in further studies, including the indication for PPI use, the range of doses used, the incidence of adverse reactions attributable to medication, adherence to the recommended treatment, the degree of control of acid peptic disease and the associated morbidity levels. It should also be noted that the participants in this study represent a captive population given a list of specific available drugs, and therefore, the findings of this study are only applicable to populations with similar characteristics.

Based on the prescribing patterns recorded in this study, it can be stated that prescriptions for essential PPIs, mainly for their use in anti-ulcer monotherapy, were generally given at appropriate doses. Although a small number of patients received a PPI not on the list of essential medications, the annual cost of these in cases of non-justified use exceeds the entire annual cost of appropriately indicated omeprazole. Hypertension, cardiovascular risk prevention, pain management and diabetes were the most common conditions associated with taking a PPI. Dealing with the prescription of PPIs for indications outside of current recommendations will require interventions to improve the prescribing criteria for patients in the Colombian SGSSS.

Furthermore, it will be necessary to provide continuing education to physicians in order to ensure that they are up to date in their management of ulcers, especially with the use of PPIs. Such physicians must be trained to prescribe the appropriate medications in the appropriate doses, and educated about the conditions and comorbidities for which PPI use is indicated or contraindicated (7,17).

Finally, a similar study should address the prescribing practices of PPIs to hospitalized patients, as they represent a unique group associated with their own specific problems.

## References

1. Díaz RM, Sainz SR, Díaz RF, Malagelada JR, Pajares JM, Rodrigo JM, et al. Comparative multicentric study of Omeprazole versus Ranitidine in the treatment of duodenal ulcer. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 1991; 80: 12-6.
2. Tajima A, Koizumi K, Suzuki K, Higashi N, Takahashi M, Shimada T, et al. Proton pump inhibitors and recurrent bleeding in peptic ulcer disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008; 23: S237-4.
3. Ntaios G, Chatziniolaou A, Kaiafa G, Savopoulos C, Hatzitolios A, Karamitsos D. Evaluation of use of proton pump inhibitors in Greece. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2009; 20: 171-3.
4. McKay AB, Wall D. Overprescribing PPIs: An old problem. *BMJ.* 2008; 336: 109.
5. Forgacs, I, Loganayagam, A. Overprescribing proton pump inhibitors. *BMJ.* 2008; 336: 1-2.
6. Martín-Echevarría E, Pereira JA, Torralba M, Arriola PG, Martín DP, Mateos J, et al., Assessing the use of proton pump inhibitors in an internal medicine department. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2008; 100: 76-81.
7. Ameijeiras AH, González BC, Zúñiga VL. A survey of gastroprotective drugs: prescription-indication in hospitalized patients. *Gac Sanit.* 2007; 21: 412-5.
8. Papis, S. Análisis de la relevancia sanitaria y económica en la prescripción de antiulcerosos del grupo de los prazoles. *Acta Farm. Bonaer.* 2006; 25: 283-8.
9. Sierra F, Suarez M, Rey M, Vela MF. Systematic review: Proton pump inhibitor-associated acute interstitial nephritis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2007; 26 (4): 545-53.
10. Targownik LE, Lix LM, Metge CJ, Prior HJ, Leung S, Leslie WD. Use of proton pump inhibitors and risk of osteoporosis-related fractures. *CMAJ.* 2008; 179 (4): 319-26.
11. Carvajal A, Macias D, Gutiérrez A, Ortega S, Sáinz M, Martín ALH, et al., Gynaecomastia associated with proton pump inhibitors: a case series from the spanish pharmacovigilance system. *Drug Saf.* 2007; 30: 527-31.
12. Reimer C, Søndergaard B, Hilsted L, Bytzer P. Proton-pump inhibitor therapy induces acid-related symptoms in healthy volunteers after withdrawal of therapy. *Gastroenterol.* 2009; 137: 80-7.
13. Ibáñez A, Alcalá M, García J, Puche E. Drug-drug interactions in patients from an internal medicine service. *Farm Hosp.* 2008; 32: 293-7
14. Wilkinson GR. Drug metabolism and variability among patients in drug response. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 352: 2211-21.
15. Machado JE, Moncada JC, Mesa G. Prescription patterns for antilipidemic drugs in a group of Colombian patients. *Rev Panam Salud Públ.* 2008; 23: 179-87
16. Machado J, Moncada JC, Pineda R. [Profile of use of anti tumor necrosis factor in Colombian patients]. *Biomedica.* 2011; 31: 250-7
17. Ahrens D, Chenot. JF, Behrens G, Grimmsmann T, Kochen M. Appropriateness of treatment recommendations for PPI in hospital discharge letters. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2010; 66: 1265-71
18. Ministerio de Salud y de la Protección Social. Acuerdo 228 de 2002, Por la cual se actualiza el Manual de Medicamentos del Plan Obligatorio de Salud, Diario Oficial de la Republica de Colombia N° 44.847, de marzo 5, 2002.
19. Levy-Neumann O, Carniaux F, Bonaz B, Durand A, Roblin X. Proton pump inhibitors in general medicine. Comparison of routine practices with marketing authorization indications. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol.* 2007; 31: 78-83
20. Salas M, Ward A, Caro J. Are proton pump inhibitors the first choice for acute treatment of gastric ulcers? A meta analysis of randomized clinical trials. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2002; 2: 17.
21. Ables A, Simon I, Melton E. Update on *Helicobacter pylori* Treatment. *Am Fam Physician* 2007; 75: 351-8.
22. Lin KJ, Hernández-Díaz S, García RAL. Acid suppressants reduce risk of gastrointestinal bleeding in patients on antithrombotic or anti-inflammatory therapy. *Gastroenterol.* 2011; 141: 71-9.
23. Hiraishi H, Akima T, Sugaya T, Nakano M, Nomura M. Prophylaxis and treatment of NSAID-related peptic ulceration: present status and prospects. *Nihon Rinsho.* 2011; 69: 988-94
24. Eom CS, Jeon CY, Lim JW, Cho EG, Park SM, Lee KS. Use of acid-suppressive drugs and risk of pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ.* 2011; 183: 310-9
25. Walshe V, O'Morain C, Bennett K, Keeling PW, Barry M. "Best practice" for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in the primary care setting. *Ir Med J.* 2006; 99: 11-2.