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Summary: Based on 48 specimens from the Golfo de California, México, a new species of horn shark, Heterodontus mexicanus, is described.

It differs from $H$. francisci (Girard), (which is a sympatric species, at least in the southern part of their range), in the low supraorbital ridges which gradually blend into the head profile posteriorely; in $H$. francisci the supraorbital ridges are higher and well developed; $H$. mexicanus has a shallowly concave interorbital area; $H$. francisci has a very concave interorbital area; $H$. mexicanus has a sligthly pointed snout, $H$. francisci has a rounded and relatively short snout; $H$. mexicanus has in the anterior area of the first dorsal fin nearly 125 denticles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}, H$. francisci has in the same area approximately 200 denticles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The most important morphometric difference between two species, is the distance from the snout to the origin of the first dorsal fin (see equations and regression lines in text). The dentary rows of $H$. mexicanus does not show to add tooth-rows with increasing size, $H$. francisci has the inverse tendency. Lenght at hatchling in $H$. francisci ranges from $150-160 \mathrm{~mm}$ total length, the single available specimen, (hastchling) of $H$. mexicanus is 140 mm total length. Maximum total length of the 48 specimens of $H$. mexicanus examined in this study was 700 mm compared to 889 mm for 106 specimens of $H$. francisci. Length of males at sexual maturity (as evidenced by the relative length of the claspers) is 550 mm or less in $H$. mexicanus the first dorsal fin is higher and the pectorals longer than in specimens of same size of $H$. francisci.

## Introduction

Seven extant species of the monogeneric family heterodontidae are known from the East and West Pacific Oceans, Australasia, and the Indian Ocean. The greatest concentration of species occurs in the eastern Pacific region and inclu-

[^0]des: Heterodontus francisci (Girard). from California and the Golfo de California. México; H. quoyi (Freminville). fron the Galapagos Islands, and the Peruvian H. peruanus (Evermann and Radcliffe) which Beche and Tce Van (1941) synonymized with $H$. quoyi. The new species described herein raises the total number of eastern Pacific species to four. It is apparently sympatric with H. francisci throughout most of its range.

The heterodontid shark figured in Kumada and Hiyama (1937, pl. 46. p. 16) as Gyropleurodus ( $=$ Heterodontus) peruanus Evermann and Radcliffe is probably $H$. mexicanus sp. n. This is indicated by the low supraorbital ridges and the relatively shorter distance from snout to $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ origin. The exact collection-locality of this specimen is not recorded and it has been assumed to be from "somewhere along the west coast of Mexico" (Beebe and Tee Van 1941, p. 119). However it was probably caught within the Golfo de California since Kumada and Hiyama also record specics endemic to this area (e. g. Cynoscion macdonaldi Gilbert). It should also be pointed out that the egg-case which Beebe and Tce Van collected at Arena Bank, Golfo de California (p. 120. fig. 31) and which they refer to $H$. quoyi, is probably referable to $H$. mexicanus. The ridged flanges and tentacles illustrated and discussed by Beebe and Tee Van are identical with those found in the egg-case herein altributed to $I I$. mexicanus (Fig. 5).

## Material and Methods

Specimens deposited at the following institutions were examined: Scri;ps Ins titution of Oceanography (SIO). University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA), and Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History (LACM). Projection measurements listed in Table 1 and given in the description were made in the manner of Bigelow and Schroeder (1948). Measurements bearing an asterisk in Table 1 were made on a sliding-scale measuring table similar to that described by Hiatt and Hamre (1945); the remainder were made with dial calipers. The distance from the origin of the second dorsal fin to the anal origin was calculated by subtracting the distance between the snout tip and the second dorsal origin from the distance between the snout-tip and the anal origin.
The following abbreviations are used: TL, total length; HI.. head length; SI.. snout length; $D_{1}$. first dorsal fin; $D_{2 .}$, second dorsal fin; $P_{1}$, pectoral fin; $P_{\text {s. }}$. pelvic fin; and A , anal fin. "Origin" is used to mean the anteriormost point at which a fin-margin meets the main profile of the body; "insertion" refers to the posteriormost point at which a fin-base is altached to the body.
Counts of vertebral centra were made from radiographs. Monospondylous counts are least subject to error; diplospondylous counts are less accurate due to dif. ficulty in ascertaining the exact origin of the caudal fin. Caudal vertebrae are not recorded because the small, often poorly calcified terminal centra are usually obscured by dermal denticles and an accuratc count it not possible. Also recorded are the number of monospondylous centra between the origin of the vertebral column and the insertion of the first dorsal spine and the number of di-
plospondylous centra between the transition from monospondyly and the insertion of the second dorsal spine. In both cases, the spine directly overlies the vertebral column aid the first centrum with at least one-half its length under the spine is counted as the insertion-centrum.

Counts of tooth-rows were made from 21 sets of jaws dissected from specimens ranging from $158-680 \mathrm{~mm}$ TL. Comparative material of $H$. Srancisci included 38 dissected jaws from specimens $160-800 \mathrm{~mm}$ TL.

## Heterodontus mexicanus sp. nov.

(Fig. 1)
Gyropleurodus peruanus: (not of Evermann and Radcliffe) Kumada and Miyama 1937: 16. pl. 46. (Figure and description).
Heterodontus peruanus: (not of Evermann and Radcliffe) Beebe and Tre Van 1941: 119-120. fig. 31 (Figure and description of egg-case).
DIAGNOSIS. This species is distinguished by having low supraorbilal ridges which gradually blend into the head profile posteriorly, a shallowly concave interorbital area, a slightly pointed snout, large denticles, numerous large black spots covering the dorsal body surface, a faint white bar across the interorbital area. and an egg-case with ridged flanges and tendrils.
DESCRIPTION. Head moderately depressed with ventral surface flattened, its Iength about 4-5 in total length; supraorbital ridges low, equal in height for most of their leugth. gradually joining head profile posteriorly. Interorbital area only slightly concave. interorbital distance 2.5 in head length; snout relatively elongate and pointed. its length about 2-3 in head length. Maximum internarial distance about 2.5-3 in head length; proximal anterior margin of nostril expanded as a flap; shallow groove along distal margin of nostril; oronasal groove present. Mouth Jength about 4.5 in head length; mouth width about 2 in head length; margin of lower jaw with thick labial lobes lying at rigth angles to midline of body.
Number of teeth variable: total in upper jaw 19-25 in adults; number of molariform teeth varying from 4-5 on each side, raptorial tecth 4-5 on each side; looth-row in jaw symphysis present or absent, total teeth in lower jaw 15-21. 3-5 molariform. 2-4 raptorial; usually a tooth-row in symphisis. Molariform teeth with a strong ridge along crest of long axis. Specimens less than 200 mm with all teeth similar. each tooth with 8-9 strong cusps.
Eye moderately large and oval, its major axis 6-7 times in HL; spiracle small and pore-like. located below posterior corner of eye about one-third eye diameter from ventral margin of orbit. Distance from snout to spiracle about 2 in head length. Gill-slit I highest of the five, about 4.3 in head length, the height of each slit decreasing posteriorly with V about one-half the height of I. Gillslit III over pectoral origin; distance from snout to gill-slit I about 6 in total length. Distance to $P_{1}$ origin about $4-5$ in TL. this origin well ahead of $D_{1}$ origin. Pectoral fins moderate in size, maximum length Icss than head lengih, pec-
toral base $2.5-3$ in head. Pelvic origin sligthly behind free end of $D_{1}$; distance from snout to $P_{2}$ origin 2.2-2.5 in total length. Pelvic base almost 3 in head length, extreme tip of fin barely extending to $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ origin. In mature males that portion of pelvic clasper extending beyond free margin of fin is equal in length to entirc pelvic fin.
$D_{1}$ larger than $D_{2,}$, its height (from posterior insertion to extreme tip) about 10 in total length. $D_{1}$ origin behind middle of pectoral base but ahead of pectoral fin insertion; snout to $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ origin $3.5-4$ times in total length. $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ height 18 times in total length; origin bchind trailing edge of pelvic fins; distance from snout to $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ origin about 1.7 in total Iength. Distance to anal origin about 1.4-1.6 in total Iength; anal fin small, base about 4 in head length; anal origin slightly ahead of extreme rear tip of $D_{2}$; trailing edge of anal opposite origin of lower caudal lobe.
COLORATION. Ground color of fresh specimens a greyish-brown with slight bronze iridescence, ventral surfaces of body and fins pale whitish-grey. Both fiesh and preserved specimens with pale bar across the top of the head connecling the posterior ends of supraorbital ridges. This bar accentuated by diffuse blackish areas in front and behind. A similar but less obvious bar connects anterior parts of supraorbital ridges. Dorsal surfaces of body, paired fins, and caudal and dorsal fins often with large black spots (about one-half eye diameter). Number of spots varying widely between individuals. Top of head and snout rarely spotted. Ventral surfaces of body pale cream to white, rarely with black spots, which are smaller than those on flanks and back. A pale area often extending up sides of body almost to midline in area between $P_{2}$ base and caudal origin; a less extensive pale area usually found on lower sides of belly area between $P_{1}$ insertion and $P_{2}$ origin.

Body and fin surfaces covered with denticles, typically cross-shaped and large. causing skin sulace to be coarse and rough to the touch; area behind $D_{1}$ with $70-130$ denticles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ in adults. Total precaudal vertebrae $\left.60-70 \overline{(x}=64.2\right) \mathrm{mo}-$ nospondylous $30-34 \overline{(x}=30.9)$ diplospondylous $30-38 \overline{(x}=33.3)$ dosal spine I inserted over $141 \mathrm{~h}-16 \mathrm{th} \overline{(x}=14.9)$ monospondylous vertebra, dorsal spine II inserted over 9th-14th $\overline{(x}=11.9)$ diplospondylous vertebra.

## Egc-Case (Figs. 5. 6)

Three heterodontid egg-cases trawled from $55-73 \mathrm{~m}$ in Bahía de la Paz, Baja California Sur. México (SIO 65-257) are strinkingly different from those of $H$. francisci and are attributed to $H$. mexicanus. Both types are ovately spheroid with a double row of ridges spiralling around the case giving it the appearance of an augur. In egg-cases of $H$. francisci these ridges are simple, thin, and without flanges; in contrast the mexicanus type has fairly thick ridges which bear wide flanges Iying in a plane parallel to the midline of the case. The tip of the case also bears a pair of tendrils which exceed one-half the length of the entire case. Such tendrils are lacking in cases of $H$. francisci (Fig. 6). (These tendrils have brcken off the case illustrated in Fig. 3 but fragments of the other cases
in SIO $65-257$ bear complete tendrils). The cases of $H$. mexicanus are also smaller; the maximum length of the two remaining intact cases are 78 and 83 mm . Cases of ! !. francisci are usually over 100 mm in Iongest dimension.

Four month; after collection a 140 mm TL female hatched from one of the egg-cases which had been maintained in an aquarium. The hatchling died a short time later and was preserved for study. Characteristics of this specimen confirm the suspicion that the egg-cases were laid by and individual of $H$. me. vicanus.

Although the egg-case of $H$. mexicanus is quile different from that of $H$. fran cisci, it is strikingly similar to that of $H$. quoyi as described in Tortonese (1939. p. 2) and illustrated in Orces (1951, p. 74. Fig. 1). Both types share the characteristics of smaller size ( 64 mm in Tortonesc's specimen and 84 in Orce's). flanged ridges. an double tendrils on the pointed end. (lt should be noted that Tortonese described the case as having four tendrils in his 1939 paper but corrected this erroneous statement in 1951).

## Material Examined

Holotype. SIO 70-90. A 610 mm TL female collected near Cerro Colorado. Sonora. México by a Mexican shrimp trawler in about 20 m depth on 25 March. 1970 and obtained for study by an SIO-UCLA joint collecting party. Paratypes Golfo de California, SIO 59-101, 9(482-700) near San Felipe, Baja California; UCLA 52-45, 1 (358) off Punta Fermín. Baja California; SIO 62-236, 2(550-557) Bahía de Los Angeles; LACM 8825, 2(525-635) Bahía de Las Animas; SIO 65-305, 2(502-506) Bahía Santa Inez, SIO 65-257, 1(140) and 2 egg-cases. Bahía de La Paz; SIO 65-250), 1 (611) Canal de Cerralbo; SIO 60-112, 1(494) near Bahía Kino; SIO 69-315, 1(593) near Punta Kino; SIO 70-90. 18(355-641) near Cerro Colorado, Sonora; LACM 6552. 1 (303) Los Cocos, Sinaloa; UCLA 50-43, 3(276-328). Sonora; LACM 6552. UCLA 58-46, $2(569.599)$ S. of Bahía Topolobampo. Outer coast of Baja California: SIO 64-19, 1(663) and UCLA 55-95, 1(521) Bahía Magalena.

## Derivation of Name

Named mexicanus for the country to whose coastline the species is apparently endemic.

> Range (Fig. 3)
H. mexicanus occurs within the Golfo de California on both shorelines and is also known from Bahía Magdalena on the outer coast.

## Identification of Juveniles

Identification of sub-adults ( $>400 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) becomes increasingly difficult with decreasing size. Complete treatment of this problem is not possible at present
due to the lack of specimens of both species within the $175-275 \mathrm{~mm}$ size-range which prevents the evaluation of diagnostic characters for individuals of these lengths. It is possib!e that identification migth be difficult for this size-class.
The following discussion is based on 1 hachling and two 165 mm specimens of $H$. mexicanus and over 25 hatchlings, and one 276 mm TL juvenile of $H$. francisci. The adult diagnostic characters are so obscured in juveniles that they are of little use in the smallest specimens. In juveniles of both species the supraorbital ridges are high, the denticles are coarse, and the dark body markings are similar in size.

However there are trenchant differences between juvenile specimens of both species which are not found in adults. All specimens of H. mexicanus have a much higher firts dorsal fin ( 5 in TL compared to in TL) and much longer pectoral fins (exceeding the HL in $H$. mexicanus but shoricr than HL in $H$. francisci). In addition, the tip of the anal fin falls shert of the lower caudal origin in H. mexicanus, but it meets or exceeds it in H. francisci.
Both species share the ontogenetic changes in dentition which are characteristic of the family Heterodontidae. That is, in the young stages all teeth in the jaw are similar and multicuspid. With maturity, the lateral teeth lose their sharp cusps and become molariform, while the front teeth change to the adult tricuspid configuration with a long central cusp and subordinate side cusps about $1 / 3$ to $1 / 5$ as long. In individuals up to 200 mm TL the teeth bear $8-9$ cusps in $H$. mexicanus and 7 or less in $H$. francisci. In specimens greater than 300 mm TL, the transition to the adult tooth-type is well begun and this character is no longer useful.

Comparison of H. mexicanus and H. francisci
The ranges of $H$. mexicanus sp. n. and $H$. francisci (Girard) overlap in the Golfo de Califrornia and at Bahía Magdalena. The two species were taken together in four of twenty-two collections examined from these areas.
However collection records are not suff:ciently detailed to allow inferences about ecological differences.
The two species are distinguished as adult using those characters outlined in the diagnosis. Smaller specimens become increasingly difficult to identify as they decrease in size; characters used in distinguishing juveniles are discussed in the section "Identification of Juveniles".

## Counts of Verterral Centra

Although all of the various counts of vertebral centra overlap in range, four of the five are significantly different at the .05 level of probability when the means are compared. These data are summarized in Table 2. Only the means for $D_{1}$ spine-centra do not differ significantly. It should be noted that magnitude of the coefficients of variability are quite similar in the two species. The most variable count in each is the number of $D_{2}$ centra which has a coefficient
of variability exceeding 10 in both species. compared with less than 6 in the other, less variable counts.

## Morphometric Characters

Both species are strikingly similar in almosi all of the motphometric characters investigated as shown in Table 1. In addition there is a good deal of variability present within a species for any given measurement. This precludes the effective use of morphometry for the identification of individual specimens. The most striking morphometric difference between $H$. mexicanus and $H$. francisci is summarized in Fig. 4 in which the distance from snout to $D_{1}$ origin is plotted against the distance from $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ origin to anal origin (measured as a projection). The two regression lines were calculated using the Bartlett method (Simpson, Rowe, and Lewontin 1960: 230-238); the $95 \%$ confidence limits for the lines are not illustrated but overlap only for specimens smaller than 200 mm TL, or 60 mm snout-to- $\mathrm{D}_{1}$-length. The regressión equations were calculated to be $\mathrm{Y}=0.227 \mathrm{X}+8.404$ for $H$. mexicanus, and $\mathrm{Y}=0.418 \mathrm{X}+6.520$ for $H$. francisci.

## Denticles

Dermal denticles of both species are of the typical heterodontid type: sessile. flattened, and shaped like a maltese cross when viewed from above. This general shape may vary over the body and fins with the center of the cross elevated on most dursal body surfaces but quite flattened on the ventral surfaces. In those areas where the skin surface commonly is abraded by the substrate, such as mots of the ventral surfaces, and the snout, the denticles are worn down into a pattern resembling street- cobbling. The denticles are constantly being replaced and representatives of all sizes are evident in any given area.
H. mexicanus generally seems to have somewhat larger, less densely distributed denticles. This gives the surface of aduli a much coarser appearance than in H. francisci in which the denticles are smaller and closer together. This is a difficult character to quantify owing to the conlinual introduction of new denticles indepencient of the total length of the individual. However the maximum size of denticles appears larger in $H$. mexicanus. Ten specimens of $H$. mexicanus had less than 125 denticles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ in the area immediately anterior to $D_{1}$ compared to over 200 denticles $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ in ten specimens of $H$. francisci.

## Teeth

Dentition in both species is similar: the froni teeth are tricuspid with the central cusp the longest; a few rows of transitional teeth separate these raptorial teeth from the inolariform teeth of the sides of the jaw. In both H. mexicanus and $H$. francisci these elongate, rounded, grinding-teeth bear ridges on their surfaces extending for the length of the crown. The presence of "carinate lateral teeth" (referriag to this ridge or keel) was used by Regan (1908: 494) and

Gill (1862: 489) as a character in defining various generic and subgeneric groupings within the Heterodontidae. The condition is contrasted with the smooth rounded lateral ieeth found in H. japonicus Maclay and Macleay and H. portusjacksoni (Meyer). These subdivisions are noi recognized herein.

The number of tooth-rows is variable within each species and their ranges overlap thus precluding the use of tooth-numbers in the distinguishing of species. Total number of tooth-rows in 14 adult H . mexicanus ranged from 34-44 with a meạn of 39 . compared to $37-52$ in 35 H . francisci with a mean of 43 . A $t$-test of the two means showed no significant difference between them at the .05 level of probability.

The most striking difference in dentition is the general tendency for $H$. francisci to add- tooth-rows with increasing size (Fig. 7) and the contrasting smaller correlation between total length and toot-numbers in $H$. mexicanus (Fig. 8). The correlation coefficients for total length and total tooth rows in $H$. mexicanus and $H$. francisci are .66 and .90 respectively.

## Size

H. mexicanu; appears to be a generally smaller species than H. francisci. Length at hatching in $H$. francisci ranges from $150-160 \mathrm{~mm}$; the single availabe hastcling of $H$. mexicanus is 140 mm TL. Probably correlated with small hatching-size $i$, the smaller egg-case size in $H$. mexicanus. Maximum lengths of the two cases available for study are 75 and 84 mm ; egg-cases of $H$. francisci range from $104-123 \mathrm{~mm}$ and average 111 mm .
Maximum total length of the 48 specimens of $H$. nexicanus examined in this study was 700 mm . compared to 880 mm for 106 specimens of $H$. francisci. Length of males at sexual maturity (as evidenced by the relative length of the claspers) is 550 mm or less in $H$. mexicanus and 600 mm or more in $H$. francisci (Fig. 9).

## Resumen

Del estudio de 48 ejemplares colectados en el Golfo de California y en Bahía Magdalena. costa occidental de Baja California se describe el tiburón-gata He lerodotus mexicanus sp. nov.
Difiere de H. francisci (Girard). especie simpátrica de $H$. mexicanus, por las siguientes características: Crestas supraorbitales muy bajas en comparación con H. francisci, en el cual dichas estructuras están bastante desarrolladas y altas; H. mexicanus presenta un árca interobital ligeramente cóncava. en tanto que en $H$. francisci dicha superficie es muy cóncava: en $H$. mexicanus el hocico es más aguzado que en $H$. francisci, en el cual tanto el hocico como el morro son redondeados y cortos; $H$. mexicanus presenta. en el área inmediata anterior a la primera aleta dorsal, alrededor de 125 dentículos dérmicos $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. La característica morfométrica más importante entre las dos especies es la distancia que existe desde el hocico al origen de la primera aleta dorsal (ver ecuaciones y lineas de regresión en el texto). En $H$. mexicanus al aumentar el tamaño no aumentan
las filas dentarias, mientras que en $H$. francisci, al aumentar el tamaño se presenta un aumento de filas dentarias. Al nacer $H$. mexicanus mide alrededor de 140 mm de longitud total, en tanto que $H$. francisci mide de 150 a 160 mm de longitud total. Aparentemente. la longitud máxima de H. mexicanus es de 700 mm , en tanto que en $H$. francisci es de 889 mm . Los huevos de $H$. francisci son mayores que los de $H$. mexicanus. La madurez sexual es alcanzada por $H$. francisci a los 600 mm o más y en $H$. mexicanus a los 550 mm o menos. En los ejemplares juveniles de $H$. mexicanus la primera aleta dorsal es más alta y las pectorales más grandes que en individuos de la misma edad de $H$. francisci.

DIAGNOSIS. Crestas supraorbitales bajas; área intero-bital muy ligeramente cóncava; hocico algo aguzado; dentículos dérmicos grandes; muy numerosas manchas negras y grandes aproximadamente circulares en la superficie dorsal del cuerpo; una barra blanquecina en el área interorbital; huevos con crestas espiraladas y prolongaciones filiformes en su ápice.
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FIg. 1. Holotype of Heterodontus mexicanus, SIO 70-90; 610 mm TL.


Fig. 2. Dorsal view of head of holotype showing the relatively pointed sonut and white bar çnnecting the posterior ends of the supraorbital ridges.



FIg. 4. Regressinn lines of $H$. francisci (dots) and $H$. mexicanus (triangles): distance between $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ origin and anal origin against distance between snout tip and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$. Note signi ficant difference between slopes.


Fig. 5. Egg-case of $H$. mexicanus, SIO 65-257, from Bahia La Paz, México; about 83 mm in length (tendrils broken).
Fig. 6. Egg-case of $H$. francisci, SIO 50-257, fronı Laguna San Ignacio, México; about 104 mm in length.


FIg. 7. Scatter diagram for $H$. francisci: total length against total number of tooth rows.


Fig. 8. Scatter diagram for $H$. mexicanus: total length against total number of tooth rows. Correlation coefficient $=0.66$.


Fig. 9. Scatter diagram for males of $H$. francisci (dots) and $H$. mexicanus (triangles): total length against clasper length. Sexual maturity is assumed to occur at the disjunction. Note sraller size at sexual maturity in $H$. mexicanus.
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